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Abstract

Lichenicolous fungi are not the same as the fungi that is the component of the lichen, which are known as lichenized fungi. This study was carried out to investigate the parasitic fungi which colonizing and attacking thalli and apothecia of citrus lichen Xanthoria parietina and the efficiency of using these fungi as lichenicolous in its control. Several fungi were isolated from decayed thalli and apothecia and identified as Xanthoricola physciae, Cladosporium sp., Alternaria sp., Drechslera sp., Botryodiplota sp., Mucor sp., Aspergillus sp., Pestalotiopsis sp., Epicoccum nigrum, Fusarium sp., and Tellogalla oliveri. Xanthoricola physciae was the most frequent and aggressive fungus in all collected decayed samples, anatomical investigation of decayed thalli and apothecia caused by Xanthoricola physciae showed destruction and lytic symptoms on the tissue and formation of black mass production of hypha and conidiospores in the infected thalli and apothecia. All lichenicolous fungi were isolated and cultivated on PDA and Malt yeast extract media, parasitism of lichenicolous fungi was done by spore suspension of lichenicolous fungi to fresh healthy thalli and apothecia and the results of decay and damage were recorded.
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Introduction

Xanthoria parietina is a foliose ascomycete lichen belong to family Teloschistaceae. It has many common names such as common orange lichen, yellow scale, maritime sunburst lichen and shore lichen, it is a worldwide lichen and one of the most common lichens, and it is a widespread in Europe, Africa, Asia, Australia, and America on different phorophytes, it is also occurring on various substrates, e.g., fences, walls, roofs, and tombstones (Lindblom 1997, Itten and Honnegger, 2010). The photobionts symbiosis and associating with X. parietina are with green algae genus Trebouxia include T. arboricola and T. irregularis. (Ahmadjian, 1993), which occupy 7% of the volume of the thallus (Hale, 1977). In Egypt it is the most abundant lichen infecting fruit trees especially citrus (Koriem, 1990, 1994, 1999) also, it was first isolated and cultivated by Koriem (1991). Xanthoria parietina often associated with high level of nitrogen (Gaio-Oliveira et al., 2004), and it can be often found near farmland and around livestock (Frati et al., 2007), so it able to infect and attack with several fungi which terms lichenicolous fungi that are different from the dominant mycobiont in the thallus structure, the relationship of the lichenicolous fungi with the lichen thalli may either be parasitic, communalistic, mutualistic or saprophytic (Nash, 2008). Hawksworth (1982) reported that the term parasite for a lichenicolous fungus is only used if it causes visible damage to its host lichen or if cause complete destruction of the host, as the production of discolorations or as the development of gall-like malformations. Etayo and Berger (2009) recorded ten species of lichenicolous parasitic and saprotrophic fungi colonized a decaying community of lichen X. parietina and they recorded some saprotrophic taxa which not usually recorded on lichens as Cladosporium macrocarpum, Epicoccum nigrum. Also, another study by Fleischhacker (2011) new fungal species invading X. parietina (thalli) were recorded and summarized into six species appear to be host-specific and facultative lichenicolous and fifteen species were obligate lichenicolous fungi with a broad host spectrum.

Xanthoria parietina is a very pollution-tolerant lichen, it can tolerate exposure to air contaminants and bisulphite ions with little or no any damaging effect (Silberstein, et al. 1996). Xanthoria parietina also is a very tolerant lichen to heavy metals contamination especially copper (Bačkor et al., 2003). This study aimed to find an effective method for controlling X. parietina by using lichenicolous parasitic fungi for control.

Materials and Methods

1-Collection of lichenicolous fungi:

Collection of lichenicolous fungi was during seasons of 2013-2017 in citrus orchards located at seven different governorates i.e. Qalubya, Sharkiya, Menofiya, Ismaelhyia, Garhbia, Beni-sweif, and Giza. The survey was achieved on citrus trees attacked with lichenicolous fungi which were visible to naked eye, the samples were collected and brought to the laboratories of Fruit and Woody Trees Diseases Research Department, Plant Pathology Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt and kept at...
at room temperature for direct isolation, in addition to another morphological and anatomical identification studies.

2- Isolation of lichenicolous fungi:
   Samples of infected and decayed lichens thalli and apothecia were examined with naked eye, hand lens, low and bower binocular then washed with tap water and cut into small pieces and sterilized with sodium hypochlorite 4% (90 seconds) followed by sterile water (10 seconds) then the excess water was removed with filter paper, then the sterilized pieces were transferred to PDA or malt -yeast extract media and incubated at 27° up to 4-6 days.

Morphological identification of lichenicolous fungi:
Identification of lichenicolous fungi was conducted based on the morphological characterization and symptoms of infection on diseased thalli and apothecia which were examined with naked eye, hand lens, low and bower binocular. Also, the colonies of isolated parasitic fungi were examined and identified according to the key of lichenicolous fungi identification by Hawksworth (1983) in addition to color photographs which used for lichenicolous fungi identification.

Anatomical investigation of infected citrus lichen:
4.1. Microtome Sectioning Method:
   Pieces of healthy or infected thalli and apothecia of Xanthoria parietina with parasitic fungus Xanthoricola physciae were washed in distilled water and dried between folds of sterilized paper towels and fixed in FAA solution (500mL) which contains 250 mL ethanol + 25mL glacial acetic acid + 50 mL formalin + 175 mL water for 24 hour, then dehydrated in ascending ethanol concentrations, paraffin wax was filtrated and then embedded as described by Johnson (1940), then the samples were sectioned using microtome, the sections were about 10-15µ and stained with safranin and fast green dyes, finally drops of canada balsam were added over the sections to cover them and left to dry, the prepared sections were examined using light microscopy and photographed.

Effect of different nutrients on the growth of lichenicolous fungus Xanthoricola physciae:
Three different cultures of solid media were used to test the best nutrient source on growth of Xanthoricola physciae namely Potato Dextrose agar (PDA) which contains (Potato extract 200 g, Agar 15.0 g, Glucose 15.0 g, Distilled water 1000 ml), Malt extract agar (MEA) which contains (Malt extract 30.0 g, Agar 15.0 g, Glucose 15.0 g, Peptone 5.0 g, Distilled water 1000 ml) and Czapek's agar medium which contains (Sodium nitrate 2.0 g, Magnesium glycerophosphate 0.50 g, Potassium chloride 0.50 g, Potassium sulphate 30.0 g Ferrous sulphate 0.01g, Sucrose 15.0 g, Distilled water 1000 ml).
These media were prepared and poured into Petri plates then inoculated with 5 mm disc of X. physciae cut from actively growing culture on water agar medium and transferred to petri plates with three different media and incubated at 28±1°C for 7 days.
Observations were recorded when the fungus had completely covered the petri plates at any one of the media, the growth diameter, colony color, and sporulation were recorded.

Biological control of lichen X. parietina:
This study was carried out in vivo trial to investigate the efficiency of lichenicolous fungus X. physciae in controlling citrus lichen X. parietina.

1. Preparation of X. physciae inoculum:
The lichenicolous fungus X. physciae was grown on PDA medium, to test its lichenicolous potentialities in vivo which appears as black decaying on the thalli and apothecia of lichen X. parietina. Petri dishes (9 cm in diameter), each contained 25 mL of PDA medium were inoculated with the tested lichenicolous then incubated at 28 ±1°C and the diameter of the colonies was measured for 12 days.

2. Efficacy of tested lichenicolous fungus in reducing the lichen infection:
Parasitism of lichenicolous fungus X. physciae was done by spraying its spore suspension on fresh thalli and apothecia of X. parietina which grown on citrus trees branches, (15 branches were used as replicates for treatment), then the results of infection on the thalli and apothecia were recorded after 6, 12, and 18 months and the decaying percentage of treated colonies by the lichenicolous fungus were estimated as the following formula:

Decaying percentage % =
Number of decayed colonies after treatment
-----------------------------------------------X100
Number of healthy colonies before treatment

Results
Morphological identification of lichenicolous fungi:
Data in Table (1) and Figs.1 and 2 illustrate that ten fungal genera attacked and killed the thalli and apothecia of citrus lichen Xanthoria parietina. The fungal genera differed in their symptoms of infection, it caused black, rose, and white decays of thalli and apothecia, also some genera as Mucor sp, Aspergillus sp. caused softening and lyses of lichen tissues especially if thalli hydrated with water. The identification of parasitic lichenicolous fungi which isolated from infected thalli and apothecia was based on their morphological characterization.
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**Xanthoriicola physciae**, Cladosporium sp., Alternaria sp., Drechslera sp., Botryodiplodia sp., Mucor sp., Aspergillus sp., Pestalotiopsis sp., Epicoccum nigrum, Fusarium sp., and Tellogalla oliveri were identified. Lichenicolous fungus *Xanthoriicola physciae* was the most frequent and the most aggressive fungus among those lichenicolous fungi. *X. physciae* was identified according to descriptions of Hawksworth (1983) as follows: conidiophores immersed in the hymenium of the host, not forming sporodochia; conidiogenous cells phialidic; conidia, ellipsoid verruculose, not adhering in chains, 3. 5-6 μm diam, dark brown to black, parasitic on the apothecia and thalli of *X. parietina* and *X. polycarpa* where the infected apothecia turning sooty and black because of its mass production of hypha and conidiospores. The infected thalli also eventually being killed. In addition, there was only one fungus identified as *Tellogalla oliveri* caused galls and malformation on lichen thalli and apothecia.

### Table 1. Frequency and diagnosis of Lichenicolous fungi of Xanthoria parietina.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lichenicolous fungus</th>
<th>Symptoms of infection</th>
<th>Number of collected isolates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Xanthoriicola physciae</em></td>
<td>Black decay on thalli and apothecia</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Epicoccum nigrum</em></td>
<td>Purple brown patches on thalli</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fusarium</em> sp.</td>
<td>Rose decay on thalli and apothecia</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cladosporium</em> sp.</td>
<td>Black decay on thalli and apothecia</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alternaria</em> sp.</td>
<td>Black decay on thalli and apothecia</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Drechslera</em> sp.</td>
<td>Black decay on thalli and apothecia</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Botryodiplodia</em> sp.</td>
<td>Black decay on thalli and apothecia</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mucor</em> sp.</td>
<td>Softening and decaying of thalli</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pestalotiopsis</em> sp.</td>
<td>White decay in the thalli</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Infection symptoms with parasitic fungi of *X. parietina*: a–Xanthoria parietina (healthy thalli and apothecia), b-c- Xanthoriicola physciae (12X), d- Drechslera sp. (12X), e-f- Epicoccum nigrum, (25X) g-h- Pestalotiopsis sp. (25X)
Fig (2): Malformation of *Xanthoria parietina* thalli and a pothecia

i- *Xanthoria parietina* (healthy thalli and apothecia).

b,c- Malformed thalli and apothecia caused by fungus *Tellogalla oliveri* (25X).
Fig 3. Anatomical investigation of infected thalli and apothecia of Xanthoria parietina with lichenicolous fungus X. physciae.

a- healthy thallus and b-healthy apothecium of X. parietina. c, d, e, f, g, destruction and lyses of infected lichen tissues and black mass of X. physciae hyphae and conidiospores formed on the destroying tissues. h- Destroying apothecium of X. parietina caused by pathogenic fungus. UC, upper cortex, LC, lower cortex, AL, algal layer r, M, medulla.
3- Effect of different nutrients on the growth of tested lichenicolous fungus *X. physciae*:

Data presented in Table 2 and Fig 3 showed that, the maximum radial growth of *X. physciae* found on Malt yeast agar medium (MYM) which was reached about 2 cm for colonies diameter daily followed by potato dextrose agar medium (PDA) then Czapek’s agar medium. Generally, the magnitude of effect was increased by increasing the days of incubation after inoculation on media.

**Table 2.** Effect of different nutrients on the growth of tested lichenicolous fungus *X. physciae*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days after inoculation</th>
<th>MYM</th>
<th>PDA</th>
<th>Czapek’s agar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean of colonies diam./ cm</td>
<td>Mean of colonies diam./ cm</td>
<td>Mean of colonies diam./ cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second day</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third day</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth day</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fifth day</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sixth day</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig (4). The most favorite solid media on radial growth of *X. physciae*

Efficiency of lichenicolous fungus *X. physciae* in controlling citrus lichen *X. parietina*:

The obtained data in Table 4 showed that *X. physciae* was the most effective lichenicolous fungus against *X. parietina* which caused citrus chlorosis, defoliation, inhibition of growth, cortex split, wounds beneath its growth by rhizinea. As shown in Table 3 *X. physciae* reduced the infection with lichen *X. parietina* growing on citrus branches after treatment to healthy thalli and apothecia, reduction of infection reached about 57.1 to 100% of lichen thalli and apothecia on the infected branches.

**Table 3.** Efficiency of lichenicolous fungus *X. physciae* in controlling citrus lichen *X. parietina*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of thalli before treatment per branch</th>
<th>Number of infected thalli after treatment per branch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>88.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 5: Illustrate the decline and black decaying on the thalli and apothecia caused by lichenicolous fungus X. physciae

- Healthy thalli and apothecia of X. parietina
- The decaying on the thalli and apothecia caused by lichenicolous fungus X. physciae
- Lichenicolous fungus X. physciae on PDA medium.

Discussion

*Xanthoria parietina* often associated with high level of nitrogen (Gaio-Oliveira, et al., 2004), and it can be often found near farmland and around livestock (Frati, et al., 2007). It has been known as a nitrophytic lichen, so nitrogen pollution caused by human activities in agriculture such as intensive livestock farming, nitrogen fertilization and cultivation of nitrogen-fixing crops constitutes it as a substrate and a host of diverse lichenicolous fungi.

Hawksworth (1982) reported that the term parasite for a lichenicolous fungus is only used if it causes visible damage to its host lichen either as local or complete destruction of the host, as the production of discolorations or as the development of gall-like malformations. Isolation of decayed thalli and apothecia of *X. parietina* observed different species of fungi identified as *Xanthoriicola physciae*,...
that caused black, rose and white decays on the thalli and apothecia, while it was only one fungus caused malformations and galls on the thalli and apothecia and identified as *Tellogalla oliveri*. Some of these fungi were recorded by Etayo and Berger (2009), also another study by Fleischhacker (2011), new fungal species invading *X. parietina* has been recorded. *Xanthoricola physciae* was the most frequent and aggressive fungus in all collected decayed samples, anatomy of infected thalli and apothecia caused by it showed destruction and lytic symptoms on the tissue and it formed black mass production of hypha and conidiophores in and on the surface of infected thalli and apothecia, this destruction and lytic symptoms on lichen tissue may be caused by the production of chitinase enzyme secreted by lichenicolous fungus. Rios et al., (2000) observed various infection mechanisms of lichenicolous fungi and they noted that some lichenicolous fungi were supposed to degrade parts of the host plectenchyma (fungal tissues). The effect of different nutrients on mycelial growth of lichenicolous fungus *X. physciae* showed that the maximum radial growth of *X. physciae* found on Malt yeast agar medium, while it was the minimum on Czapek’s agar media. It is known that Malt extract is a good source of carbohydrates (George, et al., 2008). Yeast extract was also added as a source of amino acids and vitamins, especially inositol and thiamin (Vitamin B1).

*X. physciae* needs nutritional compounds like those of lichen tissues which contains large amounts of carbohydrates, in accordance with Smith and Berry (1974). Hamada and Miyagawa (1995); Huber et al., 1994; Molina, et al., 1997), fungal spores require organic carbon source during their germination to generate a three-dimensional structure, so malt and yeast extract of medium composition meet this requirement. Parasitism of lichenicolous fungus *X. physciae* was done by spore suspension on the healthy thalli and apothecia of *X. parietina* in vivo. Results of infection on the thalli and apothecia were recorded after 6, 12, and 18 months, the infection symptoms caused by *X. physciae* on thalli and apothecia observed after 6 months, a similar agreement on *X. physciae* as an aggressive parasite on thalli and apothecia of *X. parietina* but it cannot infected entire thalli easily compared with other parasites was investigated by Fleischhacker, (2011).
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