



ISSN:1110-0419

Original Article Vol. 63(1) (2025), 1 – 8

• 2023, Faculty of Agriculture, Benha University, Egypt.

DOI: 10.21608/assjm.2025.345633.1328



Effect of Coating Treatments by Chitosan and Some Natural Oils as Normal and Nano Forms on Wonderful Cultivar Pomegranate Fruits under Cold Storage

Azhar. M. Abd El-Hakm¹.El-Badawy, H.M¹. Abd El-Moneim, Eman². El-Gioushy, S.F¹.

¹Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Benha University, Moshtohor, Toukh, Egypt. ²Horticultural Crops Technology Dept., NRC, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

Corresponding author: <u>azhar.abdelhakm@nfsa.gov.eg</u>

Abstract

This research was carried out on a Wonderful pomegranate cultivar fruits at the National Research Centre, Researches and Production Station, at El-Nobaria district, El-Behaira Governorate, Egypt. after harvest in the cold storage of Agriculture of Cairo University during two seasons (2020-2021 and 2021-2022). The treatments were arranged in a completely randomized design including seven coating treatments after harvest on Wonderful cultivar pomegranate fruits under storage refrigerated at 7°C and RH 90%, all treatments three replicates. This study aimed to obtain the effect of some natural and chemical materials on Wonderful pomegranate fruits during storage while increasing their nutritional and marketing value and the shelf life of fruits. Wonderful cultivar pomegranate fruits before storage treated by seven treatments as follows: Chitosan 2g/L, Nano Chitosan 1g/L, Clove oil 2%, Nano Clove oil 1%, Rosemary oil 1%, Nano Rosemary oil 0.5% and control. The transactions were carried out within six weeks by adding these materials to pomegranate fruits by coating after harvest. The results proved that Nano Rosemary 0.5% exhibited significantly the highest values in all chemical and physical characteristics of fruits compared to the control treatment and the other treatments.

Keywords: wonderful, pomegranate, post-harvest, shelf life, marketing

Introduction

One of the earliest edible fruits known to science is the pomegranate, Punica granatum L. (Punicaceae). Its origins can be traced back to ancient times. This fruit tree is frequently linked to fertility and is one of the species described in the Bible and the Koran. It is indigenous to Iran and possibly some of its neighboring regions. Ancient Egypt was the place where it was grown. Due to their ability to withstand drought, pomegranates are said to be a great tree for growing in desert regions. It is grown extensively in tropical, subtropical, and Mediterranean regions. According to botany, the pomegranate (P. granatum L.) belongs to the Punicaceae family, where 2n = 16 or 18. Two species, P. protopunica and P. granatum, are known to exist in the genus Punica (Mars, 2000).

This fruit is a source of carbohydrates, minerals, crude fibers, various biologically active compounds such as vitamin C and certain phenolic compounds such as punicalagin, ellagic acid, gallotannins and anthocyanins, known to act as natural antioxidants (Sabokbar & Khodaiyan, 2015). Pomegranate fruit is appreciated due to the presence of these biologically active compounds that have valuable properties such as antimutagenicity, antihypertension and reduction of liver injury (Sabokbar & Khodaiyan, 2016).

The sensitivity of pomegranate fruit to cuts, sunburn, bruises, cracking and chilling injury occurring at low temperatures, makes externally defective pomegranates inappropriate for fresh sale and consumption, and hence they are generally destined for industrial applications or animal use (Artés et al., 2000). Therefore, minimal processing can be an extremely good way to acquire a commercial benefit from externally defective pomegranates with very good internal quality (López-Rubira et al., 2005). However, respiration rate and detrimental biochemical changes of processed pomegranate arils such as the development of off-flavors and texture breakdown are accelerated by their surface damages. Furthermore, surface microbial contamination can lead to fruit spoilage (Brasil et al., 2012).

The edible coating made from biodegradable ingredients has been considered a technology to extend the shelf life of coated products by modifying their internal atmosphere (**Perdones** *et al.*, **2012**). It slows down the respiration rate and acts as a good barrier to gas transport (**Varasteh** *et al.*, **2012**) and

hence improves the visual and tactile features of coated fruits, also protects them from moisture migration and microbial growth on their surfaces (Mohammadi *et al.*, 2016).

The demand for healthy and environmentally friendly production systems of fruits and vegetables has increased during the past few years. For this purpose, essential oils (EOs), generally recognized as safe (GRAS), have been considered promising alternatives to chemical-based preservatives due to their good antimicrobial properties (Linde *et al.*, 2010, Sánchez-González *et al.*, 2011).

Chitosan an ideal semipermeable film on the fruit surface, modifies the fruit's internal atmosphere. regulates gas exchange, reduces transpiration losses, delays the ripening, and maintains the quality of harvested fruit (Shahidi et al., 1999, Li and Yu, 2000; Jiang et al., 2005; Bautista-Banos et al., 2006 and Kaya et al., 2016). Clove oil was extracted from the spice known for its therapeutic properties, and characteristics change during conventional storage, as the components present in essential oils easily undergo oxidation, isomerization, cyclization, or dehydrogenation reactions, triggered either by an enzymatic or chemical reaction. Also, degradation during storage, processing and encapsulation in carrier materials such as lecithin, arabica gum-whey protein concentrate, and poly-lactic glycolic acid resulted in an enhancement of its water solubility (Cortés et al., 2014).

This study aimed to investigate increasing the shelf life of wonderful pomegranate fruits during storage while increasing their nutritional and marketing value by using some natural and chemical materials that improve the physical and chemical properties of the fruits.

Materials and Methods

This study was carried out at the National Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt, during two successive seasons (2020-2021 and 2021-2022). The pomegranate (Wonderful cv.) trees were the plant material used in this study. Pomegranate fruits were carefully selected approximately as normal growth, healthy, and uniform as possible as well as free of farm diseases and disorders. The purpose of this investigation was to evaluate treatments that keep the good quality of pomegranate fruits (Wonderful cv.) for a longer period with less weight loss %, decay % and enhance fruits storability while improving fruit firmness under the storage condition. In this regard, this was concluded the different seven chemical substances treatments were applied as follows:

- 1- Chitosan 2g/L.
- 2- Nano Chitosan 1g/L.
- 3- Clove oil 2%.
- 4- Nano Clove oil 1%.
- 5- Rosemary oil 1%.
- 6- Nano Rosemary oil 0.5%.

7- Control.

The responses of the tested fruits to the coating treatments were evaluated through the following characteristics:

a. Fruit weight (g).

b. Decay percentage: Fruit that showed any sign of decay or visual disorders were weighted. The percentage of decaying wonderful pomegranate was calculated based on total fruit weight using the following formula:

 $Decay \% = \frac{\text{decayed fruit weight (g)}}{\text{initial fruit weight (g)}} X 100$

C. Fruit weight loss percentage: The difference between the initial weight of the clusters and that recorded at the date of sampling was translated as weight loss percentage according to the following equation

weight loss % =
$$\frac{\text{Initial fruit weight - Weight at sampling date (g)}}{\text{Initial fruit weight at the beginning of storage (g)}} X 100$$

d. Firmness: is an important physical property of fruits, the mechanical properties and elastic modulus of fruit were measured by using a compression test apparatus (Magness and Taylor, 1925).

Statistical analysis

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done for each season separately as a completely randomized design, according to procedures reported by Gomez and Gomez, (1984). The differences between mean values of treatments were compared by the least significant difference (LSD) test at 0.05 level of probability. Data were analyzed using (ANOVA) in the MSTAT-C software package (Freed *et al.*, 1989).

Results and Discussion

a. Effect of coating application treatments on fruit weight (g) in the post-harvest stage of Wonderful pomegranate fruits.

Data in Table (1) showed that the fruit weight (g) in the post-harvest stage was significantly affected by all treatments, time applications, and their interaction in both seasons. The highest significance of fruit weight was in concluded with Nano Rosemary oil 0.5%, treatment followed in descending order by treatment of Nano Clove oil 1%, Rosemary oil 1%, and Clove oil 2%, Nano chitosan 1 g/l, chitosan 2 g/l and the control treatment in the first season. On the other hand, data of fruit weight in the second one exhibited great results with Nano Rosemary oil 0.5%, followed in descending order by

Rosemary oil 1%, Nano Clove oil 1%, and Clove oil 2%, Nano chitosan 1 g/l, chitosan 2 g/l, and the control. Respectively also, the time applications in Table 1 showed that the fruit weight of pomegranates in the harvest stage gave the biggest weight at the start time of the study and decreased after weeks of the study. Data of interactions between treatments and time applications recorded that the maximum average of fruit weight with both Rosemary oil was 1% in the start time of study in the first season and Nano Rosemary oil 0.5% in the same time applications in the same Table indicated that, the arrange of fruit weight decreases significantly with increasing the time application. It could be observed

that the average fruit weight decreased from (475.83 g) to (309.25g) and from (517.97 g) to (330.80 g) in the 1st and 2nd seasons, respectively. In other words, it could be noticed that a gradual decrease in the average fruit weight with increasing the time of application from the start time (zero time) to the 6th week of appl. Claim time. The results were in harmony with **Chraibi** *et al.*, 2020; Hassanzad *et al.*, 2019; Nieto *et al.*, 2018; Ramadan *et al.*, 2020 showed that antimicrobial edible coating is an emerging packaging technique with the ability to prolong the shelf-life of fresh horticultural produce. **Bashir** *et al.* **(2023)** found that increased the average fruit weight yield from coating to different concentrations of Rosemary oil 0.10 to 0.50%.

Table 1. The effect of coating application treatments on fruit weight (g) in the post-harvest stage of Wonderful pomegranate fruits (2020-2021/2021-2022).

Treatments	Storage periods (Weeks)							Means	
	Fruits weight (g) 2020-2021								
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th		
Chitosan 2 g/L	466.0	443.2	427.2	425.8	396.7	353.0	331.6	406.2	
Nano Chitosan	499.1	473.2	427.2	425.8	396.7	353.0	298.5	410.5	
1g/L									
Clove oil 2%	485.0	476.0	451.2	445.7	413.2	383.6	329.1	426.3	
Nano Clove oil	529.4	518.9	478.2	473.8	441.6	414.8	360.3	459.6	
1%									
Rosemary oil 1%	532.1	491.1	463.9	430.5	413.5	380.3	311.8	431.9	
Nano Rosemary	525.6	500.7	480.6	450.8	445.8	423.0	368.5	556.4	
oil 0.5%									
Control	293.6	288.3	265.9	252.3	236.2	208.5	165.0	244.25	
Means	475.83	455.91	427.74	414.96	391.96	359.46	309.25		
LSD 0.05	Treatments (A)= 119.6Time applications (B)= 89.36								
					: 236.4				
treatments				plications (Means	
	Fruits weight (g) 2021-2022								
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th		
Chitosan 2 g/L	509.9	468.6	461.7	443.2	419.4	377.1	316.8	428.1	
Nano Chitosan	545.6	499.8	490.4	436.9	421.5	386.6	327.4	444.0	
1g/L									
Clove oil 2%	536.3	526.0	489.7	438.9	432.2	392.7	324.5	448.6	
Nano Clove oil	519.3	511.3	480.5	445.1	421.7	419.6	372.8	452.9	
1%									
Rosemary oil 1%	593.8	577.0	533.1	500.2	458.2	405.2	345.0	487.5	
Nano Rosemary	596.5	586.5	554.1	528.9	514.0	486.1	454.8	531.6	
oil 0.5%									
Control	324.1	317.0	284.1	272.9	256.5	228.8	174.3	265.39	
Means	517.97	498.02	470.51	438.01	417.64	385.16	330.80		

b.Effect of coating application treatments on decay percentage in the post-harvest stage of Wonderful pomegranate fruits.

Treatments (**A**)= 110.6

LSD 0.05

The results of the decay of treatments in Table 2 showed that the fruit decay did not have significance with Nano Rosemary oil 0.5% or Nano Clove oil 1% also, Rosemary oil 1% or Clove oil 2%, and Nano chitosan 1 g/l or chitosan 2 g/l in both seasons. In addition, the lowest decay percentage on

fruits was recorded significantly with both the treatments of Nano Rosemary oil 0.5% and Nano Clove oil 1% in two seasons, respectively than the control and the other remailer treatment.

A×**B**= 87.10

Time applications (B)= 32.92

Also, Table 2 recorded that the time applications of the fruit decay have the lowest data in the start time of study to four weeks in both seasons compared to data of other weeks under study. Data of interactions between treatments and the time

applications recorded that the highest fruit decay with control treatment after six weeks under postharvest study in two seasons compared to other interactions under study. The coatings create a modified atmosphere by forming a semi-permeable shield against the moisture, oxygen, carbon dioxide and solutes, lowering the respiration rate, oxidation reactions, and moisture loss, thereby keeping the product rigid, fresh and nutritious for an extended period (Embuscado and Huber, 2009). Also, the coating material of hydrophobic substances throughout the polysaccharide matrix tends to reduce possible interactions between water and functional groups of the polymer (Vianna et al., 2021). Bashir et al. (2023) showed that an increase in rosemary essential oil concentration from 0.10 to 0.50% in starch coating formulations resulted in reduced decay of fruit percentage in coated samples under both storage conditions. On the other hand, Kasnazany (2018) found that the decay % of treated fruits was significantly decreased by clove oil at (0, 1 and 2%).

a. Effect of coating application treatments on fruit weight loss (g) in the post-harvest stage of Wonderful pomegranate fruits.

Loss of fruit weight In Table 3, the results of Loss of fruit weight were significantly affected by all treatments, time applications, and their interaction in both seasons. Data of treatments in the table showed that the fruit weight loss was significant with Nano Rosemary oil 0.5%, Nano Clove oil 1%, Rosemary oil 1%, Clove oil 2%, and Nano chitosan 1 g/l compared to chitosan 2 g/l or control treatments in the first season and they were significant in all treatments compared to control in the second season. In general, the lowest average of weight loss with Nano Clove oil was 1% in the first season but the Nano Rosemary oil at 0.5% gave the lowest average in the second season. Data of interaction between the treatment and the time applications under study recorded the minimum average of fruit weight loss (g) with Nano Clove oil in the first season and Nano Rosemary oil in the second season under the start time application in the study than control and the other interactions. Banos et al. (1994) reported that chitosan can extend shelf life due to its ability to form a semi-permeable coating minimizing the rate of respiration and reducing water loss. Increased the concentration of formulation reduced weight loss possibly due to covalent and H-bond interactions among starch and REO. This limits the accessibility of hydroxyl groups to create hydrophilic bonds with water and as a result, retain moisture content (Wu et al., 2015). Rosemary oil has proven antifungal effects and the persistence of carnosic acid, carnosol, and rosemarinic acid, a class of phenolic diterpenes with a phenolic group in its molecule linked to its antibacterial action. Recently, the antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of rosemary essential oil have been reported in some studies (Bashir et al., 2023).

Table 2. The effect of coating application treatments on decay% in the post-harvest stage of Wonderful pomegranate fruits (2020-2021/2021-2022).

Treatments	Storage periods (Weeks)							Means
	decay% (2020-2021)							
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
Chitosan 2 g/L	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	8.571
Nano Chitosan 1g/L	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.333	20.00	30.00	8.476
Clove oil 2%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	7.143
Nano Clove oil 1%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	4.286
Rosemary oil 1%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	7.143
Nano Rosemary oil 0.5%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	4.286
Control	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	40.00	60.00	20.00
Means	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.429	5.619	20.00	32.86	
LSD 0.05	Treatments (A)= 1.514 Time applications (B)= 1.080 A×B= 2.858							
Treatments	Time applications (weeks)							
	decay% (2021-2022)							
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
Chitosan 2 g/L	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.33	34.67	7.429
Nano Chitosan 1g/L	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	19.00	24.67	6.238
Clove oil 2%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.333	15.33	18.33	5.571
Nano Clove oil 1%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.000	17.33	3.619
Rosemary oil 1%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	20.00	5.048
Nano Rosemary oil 0.5%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.333	13.33	2.810
Control	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.67	30.67	40.67	61.67	20.67
Means	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.667	5.143	17.38	27.19	
LSD 0.05		Treatments (A)= 0.9978 Time applications (B)= 1.054 A×B= 2.790)= 1.054	

Table 3. The effect of coating application treatments on fruit weight loss (g) in the post-harvest stage ofWonderful pomegranate fruits (2020-2021/2021-2022).

treatments	Storage periods (Weeks) fruit weight loss (g) (2020-2021)									
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th				
Chitosan 2 g/L	2.110	22.03	15.08	17.60	22.41	35.08	19.05			
Nano Chitosan 1g/L	1.810	7.593	11.90	15.53	29.78	43.35	18.33			
Clove oil 2%	1.920	7.763	12.87	15.33	20.43	31.04	14.89			
Nano Clove oil 1%	1.210	7.987	11.67	13.56	17.86	30.23	13.75			
Rosemary oil 1%	2.110	9.743	14.99	17.98	23.99	36.78	17.60			
Nano Rosemary oil 0.5%	1.490	14.63	14.55	16.87	21.29	31.73	16.76			
Control	3.563	11.95	17.13	24.26	33.95	44.62	22.58			
Means	2.030	11.67	14.03	17.30	24.24	36.12				
LSD 0.05		Treatments	(A)= 4.970	A × B = 7.674	Time applicatio	ns (B)= 2.901				
treatments				ications (weeks			Means			
		fruit weight loss (g) (2021-2022)								
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th				
Chitosan 2 g/L	1.720	9.357	14.84	18.84	25.90	37.76	18.07			
Nano Chitosan 1g/L	2.207	9.763	14.12	16.31	20.37	29.53	15.38			
Clove oil 2%	1.870	11.56	17.02	18.95	23.26	32.05	17.45			
Nano Clove oil 1%	1.497	6.143	10.15	14.81	22.61	34.78	15.00			
Rosemary oil 1%	7.957	10.14	14.54	16.90	21.62	31.66	17.14			
Nano Rosemary oil 0.5%	1.683	10.39	11.65	14.34	19.76	30.32	14.69			
Control	3.700	12.88	21.99	27.39	35.74	47.41	24.85			
Means	2.95	10.03	14.90	18.22	24.18	34.79				
LSD 0.05	Treatments (A) = 6.393 Time applications (B) = 2.529									
	$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = 6.692$									

Also, the incorporation of hydrophobic REO may have reduced the migration of moisture across edible coatings since moisture migration occurs primarily through the hydrophilic component of coating formulations and is influenced by the hydrophilic–hydrophobic proportion of emulsion coatings (Antunes *et al.*, 2012). Chen (2016) reported that the weight loss of all samples increased gradually in both coated and uncoated fruit throughout the cold storage. Arzani *et al.* (2017) reported that chitosan from 1 to 2% significantly greater weight retention and the weight loss on stored fruit at 2°C was lower than 5°C.

d. Effect of coating application treatments on fruit firmness in the post-harvest stage of Wonderful pomegranate fruits.

Results of treatments in Table 4 recorded that the firmness increased as general with Nano Rosemary oil at 0.5% in the first and the second seasons. Also, all treatments were not as significant as the control treatment under study. Data of interactions recorded that the firmness fruits gave the biggest average with Nano Rosemary oil at 0.5% at zero time application also, after six weeks under study compared to the other interactions under study. Whereas the lowest average was recorded with the same treatment after three weeks in both seasons. **Banos et al. (2006)** reported that chitosan can extend shelf life due to its ability to form a semi-permeable coating minimizing the rate of respiration and reducing water loss. Also, Paniagua et al. (2013) reported that the fruit firmness and softening were affected by transpiration-induced water loss. Moisture loss as a state of stress can trigger a senescence-like response, which could describe or lead to firmness alterations. The reduced activity of esterase oxidizing enzymes (pectin methyl polygalacturonase and β -galactosidase) is important for the firmness of coated fruits. Another key factor that influences fruit firmness is moisture loss amid storage (Mahfoudhi and Hamdi, 2015 and Tesfay et al., 2017). Arzani et al. (2017) reported that chitosan from 1 to 2% significantly greater firmness on stored fruit at 2°C was lower than 5°C. Whereless, Kasnazany (2018) used potassium metabisulphite (KMS) at (0, 2 and 4) % and clove oil (CLV) at (0, 1 and 2) %. He recorded that the fruit hardness had no significant differences between all interaction treatments. Antunes et al. (2012) found that coating with rosemary essential oil increased the fruit firmness under storage and gave the highest shelf life. Abd El-wahed et al. (2021) evaluated the effect of some nutrients on the fruit quality of "Wonderful" cultivar pomegranate. The results showed that sprayed with calcium chloride at 4% followed by zinc sulfate in both seasons gave the lowest value of loss of fruit weight from pomegranate trees compared to other treatments and control. On the other hand, data showed that sprayed with calcium chloride at 4% followed by zinc sulphate at 0.4%, copper sulphate at 0.4% and calcium chloride at 2% in both seasons gave the highest value of fruit hardness from pomegranate trees compared to other treatments and control.

Table 4. The effect of coating application treatments on fruit hardness (firmness) in the post-harvest stage of
Wonderful pomegranate fruits (2020-2021/2021-2022).

treatments	Storage periods (Weeks)							Means
	firmness (2020-2021)							
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
Chitosan 2 g/L	8.500	7.150	7.100	6.557	4.850	8.950	9.700	7.544
Nano Chitosan	8.500	7.150	6.670	6.400	6.250	8.650	9.550	7.596
1g/L								
Clove oil 2%	8.800	7.827	6.017	5.700	7.050	7.900	9.450	7.535
Nano Clove oil 1%	8.050	8.050	7.800	6.300	6.850	7.950	10.45	7.921
Rosemary oil 1%	8.077	7.717	7.717	6.827	8.300	8.350	8.817	7.886
Nano Rosemary oil	7.850	7.000	7.000	5.527	7.727	9.800	11.95	8.122
0.5%								
Control	7.550	6.600	6.600	7.200	7.327	8.300	8.650	7.461
Means	8.189	7.356	6.986	6.359	6.908	8.557	9.795	
LSD 0.05	Treatments (A)= 0.6981 Time applications (B)= 0.571							
				A×B=	1.512			
treatments	Time applications (weeks)							Means
	firmness (2021-2022)							
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
Chitosan 2 g/L	7.550	7.500	7.200	5.650	7.800	8.477	8.500	7.525
Nano Chitosan	9.477	8.100	7.550	7.167	4.900	8.317	8.527	7.720
1g/L								
Clove oil 2%	9.750	8.700	7.100	6.950	5.510	7.650	7.827	7.641
Nano Clove oil 1%	9.100	7.727	7.650	7.557	6.850	6.927	12.00	8.259
Rosemary oil 1%	9.327	8.450	7.900	6.150	6.450	9.600	11.50	8.482
Nano Rosemary oil	8.950	8.427	8.000	7.700	7.650	9.900	11.45	8.868
0.5%								
Control	8.050	7.500	7.500	4.800	6.700	6.850	8.300	7.100
Means	8.886	8.058	7.557	6.568	6.551	8.246	9.729	
LSD 0.05	Treatments (A)= 0.7017 Time applications (B)= 0.5786							
	A×B= 1.531							

Conclusion

Recently, the agriculture sector aimed to produce foods using natural products, which is considered more useful for ecology maintenance. The obtained results are more useful for promoting and enhancing the growth parameters in horticultural sectors. In applying post-harvest under storage, the fruits recorded great results under this study in both seasons when using Nano Rosemary 0.5% in all chemical and physical characteristics of fruits compared to the control treatment and the other treatments with interaction from time applications.

References

- Abd El-Wahed, A. N., Abd–Alrazik, A. M. And Khalifa, S. M.(2021). Effect of some nutrients on growth, yield, and fruit quality of "Wonderful" cultivar pomegranate. Al-Azhar Journal Of Agricultural Research., V. (46) No. (1): 1-15.
- Antunes, P., Domingues, F., Granada, M. And André, P.(2012). Mechanical Properties Of Optical

Fibers. Selected Topics On Optical Fiber Technology., Vol(19): 337-350.

- Artés, F., Tudela, J. A., & Villaescusa, R. (2000). Thermal postharvest treatments for improving pomegranate quality and shelf life. Postharvest Biology and Technology, 18(3), 245 -251.
- Arzani,K., Barzegar, M., Varasteh, F., And Zamani, Z.(2017). Pomegranate (Punica Granatum L.) Fruit Storability Improvement Using Pre-Storage Chitosan Coating Technique. J. Agr. Sci. Tech. Vol. (19): 389-400.
- Banos, B. S., Hernandez-Lauzardo, A. N., Velazquez-Del Valle, M. G., Hernandezlopez, M., Aitbarka, E., Bosquez-Molina, E. And Wilson, C. L. (2006). Chitosan As A Potential Natural Compound To Control Pre And Postharvest Diseases Of Horticultural Commodities. Crop Prot., 25: 108-118.
- Bashir,O., Tawheed Amin,T., Hussain, S.Z., Naik, H.R., Goksen,G., Wani, AW., Manzoor, S., Malik ,A.R., Wani ,F.G. and Proestos, C. (2023). Development, Characterization And Use Of Rosemary Essential Oil Loaded Water-Chestnut Starch Based Nanoemulsion Coatings For Enhancing Post-Harvest Quality Of Apples

Var. Golden Delicious. Current Research In Food Science.,

- Bautista-Banos, S., Hernandez-Lauzardo, A. N., Velazquez-Del Valle, M. G., HernandezLopez, M., Aitbarka, E., Bosquez-Molina, E. And Wilson, C. L. (2006). Chitosan as A Potential Natural Compound To Control Pre And Postharvest Diseases of Horticultural Commodities. Crop Prot., 25: 108-118.
- Benson, N.R. (1994). Nutrient Disorders In Tree Fruits. Pacific Northwest Extension Publications.
- Brasil, I. M., Gomes, C., Puerta -Gomez, A., Castell -Perez, M. E., & Moreira, R. G. (2012). Polysaccharide -based multilayered antimicrobial edible coating enhances quality of fresh - cut papaya. LWT-Food Science and Technology, 47(1), 39 -45.
- Chen C.Y. (2016). Effects Of Chitosan Coating On Postharvest Quality Of Xinyu Tangerine During Ambient Temperature Storage, Acta Agric. Univ. Jiangxiensis. 34 (2012) 1112–1117.
- Chraibi, M., Farah, A., Elamin, O., Iraqui, H.M., Fikri-Benbrahim, K., (2020). Characterization, Antioxidant, Antimycobacterial, Antimicrobial Effcts Of Moroccan Rosemary Essential Oil, And Its Synergistic Antimicrobial Potential With Carvacrol. \"J. Adv. Pharm. Technol. Research\"\" (JAPTR)\" 11 (1), 25–29.
- Cortés-Rojas, D. F., De Souza, C. R. F., & Oliveira, W. P. (2014). Clove (Syzygium Aromaticum): A Precious Spice. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine, 4(2), 90–96.
- Embuscado, M.E. and Huber, K.C. (2009). Edible Films and Coatings For Food Applications. Springer.
- Freed, R., Einensmith, S.P., Gutez, S., Reicosky, D., Smail, V.W. And Wolberg, P. (1989). Users Guide To MSTAT-C Analysis Of Agronomic Research Experiments. Michigan State University, East Lansing, U.S.A., 25-77.
- Gomez, K.A. And Gomez, A.A. (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 2nd Ed. (New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.), 680 P.
- Hassanzad Azar, H., Ghafari, A., Yousefizadeh, S., Fathollahi, M., Aminzare, M.(2019).
 Antimicrobial Effects Of The Nanoemulsion Of REO Against Important Foodborne Pathogens.
 J Human, Env. And Health Promo. 5, 79–85. Https://Doi.Org/10.29252/ Jhehp.5.2.6.
- Jiang, Y., Li, Y. And Jiang, W. 2005. Effects Of Chitosan Coating On Shelf Life Of ColdStored Litchi Fruit At Ambient Temperature. LWT, 38: 757-761.
- Kasnazany, S. A. S.(2018). Effect Of Potassium Metabisulphite And Clove Oil Dipping On Some Quality Properties Of Pomegranate Fruits Cv. Salakhani During Cold Storage. Journal Homepage Www.Jzs.Univsul.Edu.Iq., JZS

(2018) Special Issue, 2nd Int. Conference of Agricultural Sciences.

- Kaya, M., Cesoniene, L., Daubaras, R., Leskauskaite, D. And Zabulione, D. 2016. Chitosan Coating Of Red Kiwifruit (Actinidia Melanandra) For Extending Of The Shelf Life. Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 85: 355-360.
- Li, H. And Yu, T. 2000. Effect of Chitosan on Incidence of brown rot, quality and physiological attributes of postharvest peach fruit. J. Sci. Food Agric., 81: 269-274.
- Linde, J. H., Combrinck, S., Regnier, T. J. C., & Virijevic, S. (2010). Chemical composition and antifungal activity of the essential oils of Lippia rehmannii from South Africa. South African Journal of Botany, 76(1), 37 -42.
- López -Rubira, V., Conesa, A., Allende, A., & Artés, F. (2005). Shelf life and overall quality of minimally processed pomegranate arils modified atmosphere packaged and treated with UV -C. Postharvest Biology and Technology, 37(2), 174 -185.
- Magness, J. R. and Taylor, G. F. (1925). An improved type of pressure tester for the determination of fruit maturity. U.S. Dept. Agric. Circ., 350 8p.
- Mahfoudhi, N., Hamdi, S., 2015. Use of Almond Gum And Gum Arabic As Novel Edible Coating To Delay Postharvest Ripening And To Maintain Sweet Cherry (P Runus Avium) Quality During Storage. J Food Process. And Presv. 39, 1499–1508. Nieto, G., Ros, G. andCastillo, J., (2018). Antioxidant And Antimicrobial Properties Of Rosemary (Rosmarinus Officinalis,L.): A Review. Med 5 (3), 98.
- Mars M (2000). Pomegranate plant material: Genetic resources and breeding, a review, Zaragoza: CIHEAM:55-62.
- Mohammadi, A., Hashemi, M., & Hosseini, S. M. (2016). Postharvest treatment of nanochitosan based coating loaded with Zataria multiflora essential oil improves antioxidant activity and extends shelf-life of cucumber. Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies, 33, 580 -588.
- Nieto, G., Ros, G., & Castillo, J. (2018). Antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of rosemary (Rosmarinus officinalis, L.): A review. *Medicines*, 5(3), 98.
- Paniagua, A.C., East, A.R., Hindmarsh, J.P., Heyes, J., (2013). Moisture Loss Is The Major Cause Of Firmness Change During Postharvest Storage Of Blueberry. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 79, 13–19.
- Perdones, A., Sánchez -González, L., Chiralt, A., & Vargas, M. (2012). Effect of chitosan –lemon essential oil coatings on storage -keeping quality of strawberry. Postharvest Biology and Technology, 70, 32 -41. \

- Ramadan, M.F., Khider, M., Abulreesh, H.H., Assiri,
 A.M., Elsanhoty, R.M., Assaeedi, A., Elbanna,
 K., (2020). Cold Pressed Rosemary
 (Rosmarinus Officinalis) Oil. In: Mohamed,
 M.F. (Ed.), Cold Pressed Oils. Academic Press,
 Pp. 683–694.
- Sabokbar, N., & Khodaiyan, F. (2015). Characterization of pomegranate juice and whey-based novel beverage fermented by kefir grains. Journal of food science and technology, 52(6), 3711 -3718.
- Sabokbar, N., & Khodaiyan, F. (2016). Total phenolic content and antioxidant activities of pomegranate juice and whey based novel beverage fermented by kefir grains. Journal of food science and technology, 53(1), 739 -747.
- Sánchez -González, L., Pastor, C., Vargas, M., Chiralt, A., González -Martínez, C., & Cháfer, M. (2011). Effect of hydroxypropylmethylcellulose and chitosan coatings with and without bergamot essential oil on quality and safety of cold -stored grapes. Postharvest Biology and Technology, 60(1), 57 -63.
- Shahidi, F., Arachchi, J. K. V. And Jeon, Y. J. (1999). Food Applications Of Chitin And

Chitosans. Trends Food Sci. Technol., 10: 37-51.

- Tesfay, S.Z., Magwaza, L.S., Mbili, N., Mditshwa, A., (2017). Carboxyl Methylcellulose (CMC) Containing Moringa Plant Extracts As New Postharvest Organic Edible Coating For Avocado (Persea Americana Mill.) Fruit. Sci. Hortic. (Amst.) 226, 201–207.
- Varasteh, F., Arzani, K., Barzegar, M., & Zamani, Z. (2012). Changes in anthocyanins in arils of chitosan -coated pomegranate (Punica granatum L. cv. Rabbab - e -Neyriz) fruit during cold storage. Food chemistry, 130(2), 267 -272.
- Vianna, T.C., Marinho, C.O., Júnior, L.M., Ibrahim, S.A., Vieira, R.P., (2021). Essential Oils As Additives In Active Starch-Based Food Packaging Films: A Review. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 182, 1803–1819.
- Wu, G., Liu,X., Chen,T., Xu,G., Wang,W., Zeng, X. And Zhang,X. (2015). Elevation-Dependent Variations Of Tree Growth And Intrinsic Water-Use Efficiency In Schrenk Spruce (Picea Schrenkiana) In The Western Tianshan Mountains, China. Frontiers In Plant Science., Vol (6), Article:

تأثير معاملات التغطية بالشيتوزان وبعض الزبوت الطبيعية بأشكالها العادية والنانوبة على ثمار الرمان صنف وندرفول

تحت التخزين البارد

¹أزهار عبدالحكم محد، ¹حامد الزعبلاوي البدوي ، ²إيمان عبدالمنعم ،¹شريف فتحي الجيوشي. قسم البساتين، كلية الزراعة، جامعة بنها، مشتهر، طوخ، مصر.¹ قسم تكنولوجيا المحاصيل البستانية ، المركز القومي للبحوث، الدقي ، الجيزة، مصر². Corresponding author: <u>azhar.abdelhakm@nfsa.gov.eg</u>

أجري هذا البحث على اشجار الرمان صنف الوندرفل النامية بمزرعه المركز القومي للبحوث خلال موسمين (2020-2021) و (2021-2022). تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى معرفة تأثير بعض المواد الطبيعية والكيميائية على ثمار الرمان وندرفل أثناء فترة التخزين مع زيادة قيمتها الغذائية والتسويقية وفترة عرضها. بعد الحصاد نقلت الثمار الي مخازن التبريد التابعة لقسم الزراعة بجامعة القاهرة. وتم ترتيب المعاملات وفقا للتصميم العشوائي التام متضمنة سبع معاملات طلاء بعد الحصاد على ثمار صنف الرمان الرائع تحت التخزين المبرد عند درجة حرارة 7°م ورطوبة نسبية 19%، كل المعاملات ثلاث مكررات. تمت معاملة ثمار الرمان وندرفل قبل التخزين بسبعة معاملات على النحو التالي: شيتوزان 2جم/لتر، نانو شيتوزان 1جم/لتر، زيت القرنفل 2%، زيت القرنفل النانو 1%، زيت إكليل الجبل 1% وزيت إكليل الجبل النانو 5.0% بالأضافة لمعاملة الكنترول. تم تنفيذ المعاملات خلال ستة أسابيع بإضافة هذه المواد إلى ثمار الرمان عن طريق الطلاء بعد الحصاد. أنبتت النتائج أن نانو إكليل الكنترول. تم تنفيذ المعاملات خلال ستة أسابيع بإضافة هذه المواد إلى ثمار الرمان عن طريق الطلاء بعد الحصاد. ألبتت النتائج أن نانو إكليل الكنترول. تم تنفيذ المعاملات خلال ستة أسابيع بإضافة هذه المواد إلى ثمار الرمان عن طريق الطلاء بعد الحصاد. ألبتت النتائج أن نانو إكليل الكنترول. تم تنفيذ المعاملات خلال ستة أسابيع بإضافة هذه المواد إلى ثمار الرمان عن طريق الطلاء بعد الحصاد. ألبتت النتائج أن نانو إكليل الجبل 5.0% أظهر أعلى القيم معنويا في جميع الصفات الكيميائية والطبيعية للثمار مقارنة بمعاملة الكنترول والمعاملات الأخرى.