# Effects of Humic acid, Paclobutrazol and boron on growth of *Tabernaemont*ana *coronaria* (Crape jasmine) plants.

🗸 iThenticate

El-Pharhaly  $M^1\,,\,$  El-Desouky S.  $A^2\,,$  Mady  $M.A^2$  and Youssef  $A.S.M^3\,$  .

<sup>1</sup>Engnering at Benha University.

SCREENED BY

<sup>2</sup>Agriculture Botany Dept. Fac. of Agric., Benha University.

<sup>3</sup>Horticulture, Dept. Fac. of Agric., Benha University.

# Abstract

A pot experimental study was carried out during the two successive seasons of 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 to evaluate the effect of paclobutrazol (PP333) at 0.0, 20 and, 40 ppm,Humic acid (H) at 0.0 and  $2gl^{-1}$  and Boron(B) at 0.0, 2 and 4 mg/l on growth of potted *Tabernaemontana coronaria* plants. The obtained data showed that: All PP333 and B concentrations decreased plant height, especially PP 333 at 40ppm in both seasons. On contrary, all applied treatments of PP 333 and B significantly increased the root size, root and leaves fresh weight to reach its maximum by using humia acid at  $2gl^{-1}$ , boron at 2 mg/l and 40ppm pp333-sprayed plants in the two seasons. Furthermore, PP333 and B combined with H treatments significantly increased total chlorophylls contents as compared with un-sprayed plants in the two seasons.

Key words: Tabernaemontana , Paclobutrazol, humic acid , Boron, growth, total chlorophylls.

# Introduction

*Tabernaemontana coronaria* Stapf (Synonym: *Ervatamia coronaria*) is a glabrous, evergreen, dichotomously branched shrub, which belongs to Family Apocynaceae. It grows in upper Gangeticplain, Garhwal, East Bengal, Assam, Karnataka, Kerala and in Burma. It is a spreading, bushy, multi-branched shrub and grows to a height of 15 to 25 cm tall and 150 to 250 cm wide. Its leaves are long with wavy margins ; dark green above and pale green beneath (Pushpa *et al.*, 2011).

The terms growth retardants is used for all chemicals that retard cell division and cell elongation in shoot tissues and regulate plant height physiologically without formative effects (PGRSA, 2007). One of the most

widely used growth retardants is paclobutrazol (pp333) [(2RS,3RS) -1-(4-chlorophenyl-4,4 dimethyl-2-(1H-1,2,4 triazol-1- yl) pentan-3-ol] is a well-known plant growth retardant (Davis and Andersen, 1989) . Paclobutrazol functions by inhibiting cytochrome P-450, which mediates oxidative dimethylation reactions, including those which are necessary for the synthesis of ergosterol and the conversion of kaurene to kaurenoic acid in the gibberellins biosynthetic pathway (Fletcher et al., 2000). From this function, paclobutrazol has long been used to reduce plant height for potted plant production, particularly ornamental plants (Beattie et al., 1990; Fletcher et al., 2000). Paclobutrazol at concentration of 35 mg a.i./pot reduced plant foliage height and flower stem length, without affecting inflorescence length and delaying the production of potted Thai Tulip (Pinto et al., 2006).

Boron (B) is an essential nutrient for normal growth of higher plants; it plays an important role in

physiological and biochemical processes and has a primary function in plant cell wall integrity (Mengel and Kirkby, 2001). It is needed by the crop plants for cell division, nucleic acid synthesis, and uptake of calcium and transport of carbohydrates.

Humic acid (HA) exists in arable soils and is derived from soil humus; it is a microbial metabolized organic matter and constitutes over 60% of soil organic matter. It is used as a plant biostimulant (Peña-Méndez et al., 2005 and Muscolo et al., 2013).

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of of Humic acid,Paclobutrazol and boron on growth and chemical composition of potted *Tabernaemontana coronaria* plants.

## Material And Methods

A pot experiment was conducted during 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 seasons to study the effect of humic acid (H), Paclobutrazol (PP<sub>333</sub>) and boron (B) on Tapernamontana plants .The design was a randomized complete block, factorial experiment. After one month from repotting process (March 1st during the two seasons), the plants were received three sprays with paclobutrazol at 20, and 40 ppm and boron at 2and 4mg/l plus tap water as control at one month intervals. The plants were sprayed with a hand pump mister to the point of runoff. A surfactant (Tween 20) at a concentration of 0.01% was added to all tested solutions including the control. Plants were grown in PVC pots of 10kg soil pot<sup>-1</sup>. Soil of the pots was a mixture of a clay soil: sand: peat moss (at a ratio of 2:1:1 by volume). Table 1 shows the main properties of the clay soil used .Soil analysis was done by methods cited in (Black et al., 1965).

	I Luit	Sea	on	
	Unit	2019-2020	2020-2021	
Coarse sand	%	14.15	15.65	
Fine sand	%	13.98	13.86	
Silt	%	16.65	16.84	
Clay	%	55.22	53.65	
Texture		Clay	Clay	

Table 1: Main properties of soils of the seasons 2019-2020 Soil particle size distribution and texture

#### **Chemical properties**

Demonster	I I:4	Season				
Parameter	Unit	2019-2020	2020-2021			
Organic matter	gkg <sup>-1</sup>	15.7	23.3			
Available N	mgkg <sup>-1</sup>	65	70			
Available P	,,	9.0	9.0			
Available K	,,	50	50			
CaCo3	gkg <sup>-1</sup>	5.5	5.7			
Fe	mgkg <sup>-1</sup>	12.8	20.0			
Zn	,,	4.3	4.8			
Mn	,,	5.6	15.7			
Cu	,,	2.9	2.5			
В	,,	2.0	5.0			
pH		7.8	7.5			

The humic acid (obtained from Agrolink Agricultural Co., Roxy, Cairo, Egypt) was added to the irrigation water at a concentration of  $2 \text{ gL}^{-1}$ . Boron (B) (used in the form of boric acid;170 g Bkg<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup>) as well as Paclobutrazole (PP<sub>333</sub>) was obtained from Sigma Aldrich .Thus there were 18 treatment combinations (2 H X 3 B X 3 PP<sub>333</sub>). Treatments were in 3 replicates . After two months from replanting, the plants were fertilized every month with NPK fertilizer using ammonium sulfate (20.5% N), calcium superphosphate (15.5% P2O5) and potassium sulfate (48% K2O). A mixture of the three fertilizers, with a ratio of 1: 1: 1 (N: P2O5: K2O), was prepared and applied to the pots at the rate of 5 g/pot. Common agricultural practices (irrigation, manual weed control, . . . etc.) were carried out when needed.

# Sampling and collecting data:

1- Growth characteristics:

Growth traits and characteristics were measured at 90 days after transplanting. Five plants from treatments were randomly taken for measurements. The following characteristics were inspected:

- Size of the root system according to Hanson and Churchill (1968). Roots were gently obtained by washing the soil round them under flow using water.
- Plant height (cm)
- Fresh weight of roots g /plant<sup>-1</sup>.
- Root size (cm<sup>3</sup>)
- Fresh weight of leaves g/ plant<sup>-1</sup>.
- Samples were then dried in oven at 70° C for 72 hours till weight stability and dry weight was measured.

2-Total chlorophyll content (SPAD value):

Total chlorophyll in leaves was determined at 90 days after planting using analytical apparatus; chlorophyll meter (Model SPAD) Minolta Camera Co. Ltd, Japan.

#### **Results and discussion.**

*Effect of paclobutrazol,Humic acid and Boron on growth, chemical composition, of Tabernaemontana coronaria plants:* 

## 1- Effect on vegetative growth:

Table (1) show that on vegetative growth traits i.e., plant height as affected by paclobutrazol (PP<sub>333</sub>) at 20 and 40ppm ,Humic acid(H) and boron(B) at 2and 4mgl-1 are presented in Tables (1). Here, it could be noticed that all PP<sub>333</sub>,H and B treatments were positively affected the previously mentioned vegetative growth traits of Tabernaemontana plants as compared with control in the two seasons. However, plants received the different PP<sub>333</sub>, H and B treatments were shorter than the untreated control plants. Also, of the different PP 333 and B treatments, the highest concentration of  $PP_{333}$  was the most effective one for producing the shortest plants in both seasons. Besides, PP 333 at 40ppm and B at 4mgl<sup>-1</sup> compared with H gave highly significant reduction in the plant height in the two seasons. Of interest, is to note that the abovementioned results when related with the histological features of treated plants and also with their growth aspects. Since, gibberellin is known as a stimulating and individual hormone for longitudinal growth in different plants (Devlin and Witham., 1983 ; Feleafel etal., 2019 and Jeevithaand Vasudevan, 2019). Hence, reduction of endogenous gibberellins level due to the use of growth retardants

treatments (as will be mentioned later) led to reduction in the length of different cell types and consequently reduction in the plant height especially when the reduction of both gibberellins and auxins level is considered.

 Table1: Effect of Effect of Boric acid,Paclobutrazol and Humic acid on Plant height (cm plant-1) of Tabernaemontana coronaria (Crape jasmine) plants .

						r				
Η	В	$\mathbf{P}_0$	$\mathbf{P}_1$	$P_2$	Mean	$\mathbf{P}_0$	$P_1$	$P_2$		Mean
			Plant height(cm) Plant height(c				t(cm)			
2019-2020								2020-20	21	
В	B <sub>0</sub>	33.50	23.50	19.00	25.33	62.00	65.00	83.50	70.16	
	$\mathbf{B}_1$	42.00	40.50	24.50	35.67	29.50	30.50	20.00	26.66	
	$B_2$	23.50	19.00	21.00	21.17	24.50	22.00	31.50	26.00	
	Mean	33.00	27.67	21.50	27.39	38.66	39.16	45.00	40.94	
	$\mathbf{B}_0$	42.50	19.00	17.00	26.17	65.00	69.50	62.50	65.66	
$H_1$	$\mathbf{B}_1$	44.50	50.50	29.50	41.50	36.00	42.50	32.50	37.00	
	$\mathbf{B}_2$	22.50	29.00	20.00	20.83	36.50	41.50	36.50	38.16	
	Mean	a 36.50	29.83	22.17	29.50	42.25	45.16	44.41	46.94	
G. n	nean	34.75	28.75	21.83		42.25	45.16	44.41		
					Means	s of B				
	$\mathbf{B}_0$	38.00	21.25	18.00	25.75	63.50	67.25	73.00	67.91	
	$\mathbf{B}_1$	43.25	45.50	27.00	38.58	32.75	36.50	26.25	31.83	
	$\mathbf{B}_2$	23.00	19.50	20.50	21.00	30.50	31.75	34.00	32.08	
LSE	0.05	H:1.93	B:2.37 P:2	.37 HB	:3.34	LSD 0.05	H: 2.26	B:2.76	P:2.76	HB: 3.91
BP:	4.10	HP:NS	HBP:5.8	1		BP: 4.79	HP: 3.	91	HBP:6.	78

Treatment designations: Paclobutrazol  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  sprayed as solution of 0, 20 and 40 mg L-1 respectively ;  $B_0$ ,  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  sprayed boric acid solution of 0, 2 and 4 g L-1 respectively ;  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  humic acid added to soil at 0 and 2 g kg-1 respectively.

As shown in Table (2) different applied treatment significantly increased the root size (cm<sup>3</sup>) /plant, it was noticed that significant increase of this parameter at 60 days after transplanting during two seasons was obtained. Also, in this respect Boron separately or when combined with Humic acid exhibited the less significant increase of this size. While pp<sub>33</sub> when applied separately or combined with Humic acid showed the heighest significant increase of this parameter .In this respect, the height root size where reached (to 19.00 ,15.50 ,19.50 and 26.00 cm<sup>3</sup> in the first season and 17.50, 16.50 43.00 and 45.50cm<sup>3</sup> /plant in the in the second season with H0P2B1,H1P0B1 and H1B1P2 respectively. These results are in agreement with those reported by(Motaium etal.,2019 and Faisal etal.,2019).

With regard to the fresh weight of roots, as shown in **Table (3)** it could be noticed that significant increase of this parameter was the dominant result of all applied treatments.

In this respect,  $pp_{33}$  separately or in combinations with Humic acid and B was pronounced it's effect . Here, the height values where 19.68 and 33.07 g/plant &28.63 and 48.53 g/plant with  $pp_{33}$  at 40 ppm +B at 2mgl+H &  $pp_{33}$  at 20 ppm +B at 4mgl+H during two seasons ,respectively. These results are in agreement with those reported by(Mahmoud et al .,(2020); (Mazen et al .2019); Bradar-Jokanovic, (2020) ; Motaium et al (2019); Sharaf-Eldien et al.(2017).

As indicated in Table (4) leaves are the very important organ of Tabernamontana plant in which they are the source of photosynthates as well as the economic ornamental vision .As for, the fresh weight of leaves, clearly increased significantly. In this respect, pp<sub>333</sub> either separately or when combined with B and H showed the highest values of this number. Where, the heaviest fresh weights of leaves/ plant were gained by 40ppm PP<sub>333</sub>- sprayed plants. Also, PP<sub>333</sub> at 20ppm and B at 2mgl combared with gave highly significant increments in these Η parameters in both seasons . Such results showed similar trend to those obtained by many investigators worked on PP<sub>333</sub> and B on other plants. In this concern,( EL- Khwaga et al ., (2020) on Luffa cvlindrica L , Faisal et al ..(2019) on Gazania rigens L and Noor El-Deen., (2020) on Ruellia simplex. In general, some of the other studies has been carried out in which obtained nearly similar results, of these studies are (Ghatas .,2016)) reported that spraying paclobutrazol increased branches and fresh and dry weights of leaves but it decreased plant height. As for Boron the abovementioned results of B are in harmony with found by EL-Mahmoudy et al ., (2019) reported that he highest values were recorded in vegetative growth traits (plant height, leaves fresh weight, and dry weight) in comparison with the control.

		Р							
Η	В	P <sub>0</sub>	P1	$P_2$	Mean	$\mathbf{P}_0$	P1	P <sub>2</sub>	Mean
			Root	$t size(cm)^3$			Root	size(cm) <sup>3</sup>	
			2	019-2020			202	20-2021	
	$B_0$	15.50	8.00	3.50	9.00	20.50	37.50	18.50	25.50
	$B_1$	6.00	11.00	19.00	12.00	8.50	21.50	17.50	15.83
	$B_2$	15.50	6.00	12.00	11.16	12.50	15.50	16.50	14.83
	Mean	12.33	8.33	11.50	10.73	13.83	24.83	17.50	18.72
	$\mathbf{B}_0$	13.00	10.50	6.00	9.84	21.00	23.50	40.00	28.16
$H_1$	$B_1$	19.50	21.50	26.00	22.34	32.50	27.50	35.50	31.83
	$B_2$	11.50	17.00	13.50	14.00	43.00	42.50	17.00	34.16
	Mean	14.99	16.33	15.16	15.39	32.16	31.16	30.83	31.38
G. n	nean	13.50	12.33	13.33		23.00	28.00	24.16	
					Means of B				
	$\mathbf{B}_0$	14.25	9.25	4.75	9.41	20.75	30.50	29.25	26.83
	$\mathbf{B}_1$	12.75	16.25	22.50	17.16	20.50	24.50	26.50	23.83
	$B_2$	13.50	11.50	12.75	12.58	27.75	29.00	16.75	24.50
LSD	0.05 H:	1.76 B:2.15	5 P:NS	HB:3.04	BP:3.72	LSD 0.05	H:2.01	B:2.46 I	P:2.46
HP:	3.04 HBP	: 5.27				HB:3.48	BP:4.	27 HP:	3.48
						HBP:6.04			

**Table2**: Effect of humic acid(H) boron(B) and Paclobutrazol(PP<sub>333)</sub> on Root size(cm)<sup>3</sup> of *Tabernaemont*ana *coronaria* (Crape jasmine) plants .

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Treatment designations: Paclobutrazol $P_0$, $P_1$ and $P_2$ sprayed as solution of $0$, $20$ and $40$ mg L-1$ respectively $$; $B_0$, $B_1$ and $B_2$ sprayed boric acid solution of $0$, $2$ and $4$ g L-1$ respectively $$; $H_0$ and $H_1$ humic acid added to soil at $0$ and $2$ g kg-1$ respectively $$} \end{array}$ 

 Table 3:Effect of humic acid(H) boron(B) and Paclobutrazol(PP<sub>333)</sub> on Root fresh weight (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) of Crape jasmine (*Tabernaemontana coronaria*) plants :

	Р								
В	$\mathbf{P}_0$	$\mathbf{P}_1$	$P_2$	Mean	$\mathbf{P}_0$	$P_1$	$\mathbf{P}_2$	Mean	
		Ro	ot F.W			Roc	ot F.W(g)		
		20	19-2020		2020-2021				
$B_0$	5.91	6.16	19.68	10.58	19.60	36.72	28.63	28.32	
$B_1$	5.74	14.68	4.47	8.29	7.32	16.77	8.60	10.89	
$B_2$	3.31	9.97	10.44	7.91	9.31	17.04	15.18	13.84	
Mean	<b>4.98</b>	10.27	11.53	8.93	12.08	23.51	17.47	17.68	
$\mathbf{B}_0$	17.98	32.56	31.45	27.31	22.49	36.96	40.03	33.16	
$B_1$	11.07	33.07	15.58	20.00	31.90	35.41	31.68	33.00	
$B_2$	10.32	30.12	12.74	17.72	48.53	34.48	15.81	32.94	
Mean	13.10	31.92	20.01	21.68	34.31	35.62	29.17	33.03	
nean	9.04	21.09	15.77		23.19	29.56	23.32		
			Ν	Aeans of B					
$B_0$	11.91	19.36	25.56	18.95	21.05	36.84	34.33	30.74	
$\mathbf{B}_1$	8.40	23.88	10.16	14.14	19.61	26.09	20.14	21.94	
$B_2$	6.82	20.04	11.59	12.81	28.92	25.76	15.49	23.39	
0.05 H	:1.54 B:1.	89 P:1.89	HB:2.68	BP:3.28	LSD 0.05	H:1.95	B:2.39 I	P:2.39	
2.68 H	IBP:4.64				HB:3.38	BP:4.	15 HP:3	3.38	
					HBP:5.87				
	$B$ $B_{0}$ $B_{1}$ $B_{2}$ Mean $B_{1}$ $B_{2}$ Mean $B_{1}$ $B_{2}$ $B_{1}$ $B_{2}$ $0.0.5$ Hi $2.68$ $B_{1}$	B $P_0$ $B_0$ 5.91 $B_1$ 5.74 $B_2$ 3.31           Mean <b>4.98</b> $B_0$ 17.98 $B_1$ 11.07 $B_2$ 10.32           Mean <b>3.10</b> nean <b>9.04</b> $B_0$ <b>11.91</b> $B_1$ <b>8.40</b> $B_2$ <b>6.82</b> 00.05         H:1.54         B:1.           2.68         HBP:4.64	B $P_0$ $P_1$ $Ro_{20}$ $B_0$ 5.91       6.16 $B_1$ 5.74       14.68 $B_2$ 3.31       9.97         Mean <b>4.98 10.27</b> $B_0$ 17.98       32.56 $B_1$ 11.07       33.07 $B_2$ 10.32       30.12         Mean <b>13.10 31.92</b> nean <b>9.04 21.09</b> B_0 <b>11.91 19.36</b> $B_1$ <b>8.40 23.88</b> $B_2$ <b>6.82 20.04</b> 0.0.05       H:1.54       B:1.89       P:1.89         2.68       HBP:4.64       HBP:4.64	B $P_0$ $P_1$ $P_2$ $Root F.W_{2019-2020}$ $B_0$ $5.91$ $6.16$ $19.68$ $B_1$ $5.74$ $14.68$ $4.47$ $B_2$ $3.31$ $9.97$ $10.44$ Mean $4.98$ $10.27$ $11.53$ $B_0$ $17.98$ $32.56$ $31.45$ $B_1$ $11.07$ $33.07$ $15.58$ $B_2$ $10.32$ $30.12$ $12.74$ Mean $13.10$ $31.92$ $20.01$ mean $9.04$ $21.09$ $15.77$ Mean $19.36$ $25.56$ $B_1$ $B_0$ $11.91$ $19.36$ $25.56$ $B_1$ $8.40$ $23.88$ $10.16$ $B_2$ $6.82$ $20.04$ $11.59$ $0.005$ $H:1.54$ $B:1.89$ $P:1.89$ $HB:2.68$ $2.68$ $HBP:4.64$ $HBP:4.64$ $HBP:4.64$ $HBP:4.64$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	

Treatment designations:  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  humic acid added to soil at 0 and 2 g L<sup>-1</sup> irrigation water respectively.  $B_0$ ,  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  sprayed boric acid solution of 0, 2 and 4 mg B L<sup>-1</sup> respectively Paclobutrazol  $Pz_0$ ,  $Pz_1$  and  $Pz_2$  sprayed as solution of 0, 20 and 40 mg L<sup>-1</sup> respectively;

						Р			
Η	В	$\mathbf{P}_0$	$P_1$	$P_2$	Mean	$\mathbf{P}_0$	$P_1$	$P_2$	Mean
			Leave	es F.W			Lea	ves F.W (g)	
			2019	9-2020			2	020-2021	
	$\mathbf{B}_0$	20.01	19.67	22.55	20.74	27.91	32.71	36.21	32.27
$H_0$	$B_1$	7.39	24.76	23.57	18.57	10.47	26.00	24.10	20.19
	$B_2$	13.67	10.80	12.61	12.36	25.52	16.72	18.83	20.35
	Mean	<b>13.69</b>	18.41	19.58	17.22	21.30	25.14	26.38	24.27
	$\mathbf{B}_0$	24.17	27.42	28.77	26.78	36.17	38.91	29.86	34.98
$H_1$	$B_1$	9.51	10.60	8.91	9.67	24.76	29.44	21.25	25.15
	$B_2$	7.00	8.64	10.61	8.75	30.53	23.62	20.27	24.81
	mean	<b>1</b> 3.56	15.55	16.09	15.07	30.48	30.65	23.79	28.31
G. n	nean	13.62	16.98	17.83		25.89	27.90	25.08	
					Means of	В			
	$B_0$	22.09	23.54	25.66	23.76	32.04	35.81	33.03	33.62
	$B_1$	8.45	17.68	16.24	14.12	17.61	27.72	22.67	22.67
	$\mathbf{B}_2$	10.33	9.72	11.61	10.55	28.02	20.17	19.55	22.58
LSD	0.05	H:2.37 B:2.	90 P:2.90	HB:4.10	BP:5.03	LSD 0.05	H:2.90	B:3.55 P:3.55	HB:NS
HP:	NS I	HBP:7.11				BP:6.15	HP:5.02	HBP:8	3.70

 Table 4 : Effect of humic acid(H) boron (B)and Paclobutrazol (PP<sub>333)</sub> (on Leavest fresh weight (g plantt<sup>-1</sup>) of Crape jasmine (*Tabernaemont*ana *coronaria*) plants :

See footnotes of Table 2 for treatment designations

**Table 5**: Effect of humic acid(H) boron(B) and Paclobutrazol(PP333) on Total chlorophyll (SPAD)of*Tabernaemont*ana coronaria (Crape jasmine) plants .

			Р							
Η	В	Р	$_{0}$ P <sub>1</sub>	$P_2$	Mear	n P <sub>0</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	$P_2$	mean	
			Total c	hlorophyll(SP.	AD)		Total chlor	rophyll (SF	PAD)2	
				2019-2020			2020-2021			
	$\mathbf{B}_0$	29.85	45.85	44.30	40.00	34.45	36.30	47.80	39.51	
$H_0$	$B_1$	39.35	50.90	61.55	50.60	43.05	58.65	68.55	56.75	
	$B_2$	50.25	52.95	66.75	56.65	71.85	63.55	67.35	67.58	
	mear	1 <b>39.81</b>	49.90	57.53	49.08	<b>49.78</b>	52.83	61.23	54.61	
	$\mathbf{B}_0$	33.65	34.00	36.45	34.70	37.05	35.45	50.20	<b>40.90</b>	
$H_1$	$\mathbf{B}_1$	38.30	40.55	52.45	43.76	49.45	46.85	60.80	52.36	
	$B_2$	53.95	70.50	67.65	64.03	64.25	62.55	66.50	64.43	
	mea	<b>n</b> 41.96	48.35	52.18	47.50	50.25	48.28	59.16	52.56	
G. n	nean	40.89	49.12	54.85		50.01	50.55	60.20		
					Means of B					
	$\mathbf{B}_0$	31.75	39.92	40.37	37.35	35.75	35.87	49.00	40.20	
	$B_1$	38.82	45.72	57.00	47.18	46.25	52.75	64.67	54.55	
	$B_2$	52.10	61.72	67.20	60.34	68.05	63.05	66.92	66.00	
LSD	0.05	H: NS E	B:7.90 P:7.9	0 HB:11.17	BP:NS	LSD 0.	05 H:NS	B:10.04	P:10.04	
HP:	NS	HBP:NS	5			HB:NS	BP:NS	HP: NS	5	
						HBP:N	S			

#### **2-1** Chlorophyll contents:

As shown in **Table (5)** different applied treatments increased the total amount of chlorophylls in leaves of treated plants .In this respect,  $pp_{333}$  at 40ppm was more pronounced treatment to increase total chlorophylls in the stage of plant growth (i.e., at 60,75 and 90 days after transplanting).

The increment, of total chlorophylls in leaves of this ornamental plant beside the crowdness of leaves (i.e., rosette leaves) with dark green color could rise up the economic value of this public plant. These results of Humic acid are in agreement with those reported by (El-Hoseiny et al., 2020; Noor El-Deen and El-Ashwah., 2019 and El-Helaly .,2018). Also, effects of Boron application on photosynthetic pigments could be explained by (Khaleel and Fouad ., 2019) showed that using Boron at 2 gl on *Vigna unguiculata* t showed a significant increase in plant growth and leaf content of total chlorophyll . As for paclobutrazol the abovementioned results of paclobutrazol are in harmony with those attained by (Abd El-Aal and Eid .,2017; Sharaf-Eldien et al., 2017 and Noor El-Deen .,2020) reported that

Paclobutrazol increased leaf chlorophylls (a and b), carotenoids and total phenols of *Ruellia simplex* plant.

**References**:

- Abd El-Aal , M. M. M and Eid,R.S.M (2017): Optimizing Growth, Seed Yield and Quality of Soybean (Glycine maxL.) Plant Using Growth Substances. Faculty of Agriculture, Benha Univ, Egypt 6(3): 1-19.
- Black, C.A., Evans, D.D.; Ensminger, L.E., White, J.L. and Clerk, F.E. (1965) Amer. Soc. Agron. Inc. Madison, WI, USA, J.88, 154-167.
- Bradar-Jokanovic, M.( 2020) .Boron Toxicity and Deficiency in Agricultural Plants *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21(4):1424-1428.
- Beattie, D.J.,. Holcomb E.J,and Deneke, C.F. (1990). Effects of uniconazole and paclobutrazol on plant height and flowering in *Physostegia virginiana* and Cheloneoblique. Plant Growth Regulator Society of America, 18(4): 187-193.
- Davis, T.D. and Andersen, A.S. (1989). Growth retardants as aids in adapting new floricultural crops to pot culture. Acta Horticulturae, 252: 77-85.
- Devlin; M. and Witham , H. (1983): Plant Physiology, 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. Publishers Willard, Grant Press, and Boston,J.(55)57-99.
- El- khwaga , A.A; Abd El-latif , M ; Baiea, M.H.M and El-Gioushy, F.S (2020): calcium boron and carpox-k sprays for improving growth, nutritional status and productivity of washington navelorange trees. vol(20).no 2. pp 8613 -8621.
- El-Helaly , A . M. (2018): Effect of Foliar Application of Humic and Fulvic Acids on Yield and its Components of Some Carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) Cultivars . Journal of Horticultural Science & Ornamental Plants 10 (3): 159-166.
- El-Hoseiny, M. H ; Mohamed, N; Helaly, N ; Elsheery, S. M. A. (2020) Humic Acid and Boron to Minimize the Incidence of Alternate Bearing and Improve the Productivity and Fruit Quality of Mango Trees. Hort .sci. 55(7):1026– 1037.
- EL-Mahmoudy, A. M; Rashed, A.; Mohamed, S.; Kushlaf, N; Eljade, L. and Oshkondali, S. T. M. (2019): The Effect of Rhizobium Bacteria, Vitamin B12 and the Two Elements Boron and Molybdenum on the Characteristic Morphology of Pea Plant. J. Agri. Sci.vol 2.
- Faisal, Z; Adnan, Y; Zainul, A; Alessandra, F and Antonio. F (2019) Bioregulators Can Improve Biomass Production, Photosynthetic Efficiency, and Ornamental Quality of *Gazania rigens* L. Institute of Horti, Sci, Faculty of Agric, Univ. Faisalabad, Pakistan.V(3)129-200.
- Feleafel, N. M ; Abd El-Kader Y. D and ShimaaM. H (2019): Minimizing NPK Fertilizers byUsing Starter Fertilizers and Enhancing

Nutritional Quality of Potato . J .Hort. Sci& Ornamental Plants . aculty of Agriculture, Alexandria. Univ , Egypt 11 (3): 214-221.

- Fletcher, R.A., A. Gill, T.D. Davis and Sankhla,, N. (2000). Triazoles as plant growth regulators and stress protectants. Horticulture Review, 24: 55-138.
- Ghatas, Y.A.A. (2016). Influence of paclobutrazol and cycocel sprays on the growth, flowering and chemical composition of potted *Chrysanthemum frutescens* plant. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor. Vol. 54(2), 355–364.
- Hanson, H.C .and Churchill, E .D .(1968):The plant community ,3<sup>rd</sup> printing .Reinhold pub.Corb.,pp108-111.
- Jeevitha, S., and Vasudevan, S. N. (2019):"Effect of Boron and plant growth regulators on hybrid seed yield and quality of sponge gourd (Luffa cylindrica L.) Hyb. Haritha under protected condition." *Mysore. Journal. of Agricultural Sciences* 53.3 : 71-75.
- Khaleel ,A.B ; and Fouad A .S (2019): The response of cowpea plant *Vigna Unguiculata l.* when exposed it to amino acids and boron and the addition of phosphorus to soil in some vegetative and productive characteristics. Egypt.J. of Appl. Sci., (6B): 724-742.
- Mahmoud, S.A; Nabila, E.K: Thanaa, S.M. M; Amal, M. R; Ramadan, A. E and Osama, A. A (2020): Impact of soil application with humic acidand foliar spray of milagro bio-stimulant onvegetative growth and mineral nutrientuptake of Nonpareil almond young treesunder Nubaria conditions. Department of Horticultural Crops Technology, Agricultural and Biological. 44:38.
- Mazen , N ; Sawan , H and Nermen , A (2019): Effect of Humic and salicylic acids on growth, flowering and bulb production of *Tuberose plants.J* . Biological Sci, Series . Vol. (14) No. (5)76-88.
- Motaium, E.R.; Ilyas, S. and Susila, A.D. (2019) Improving pollen viability of ginger (*Zingiber* officinale Rosc.) by application of boron and zinc and its impact on rhizome yield. Journal of Applied Horticulture, 18(3).
- Mengel, K., and Kirkby E. A. (2001). Boron. In: *Principles of Plant Nutrition*, pp. 621–638
- Muscolo, A., Sidari, M., and Nardi, S. (2013). Humic substance: relationship between structure and activity. Deeper information suggests univocal findings. *J. Geochem. Explor.* 129, 57– 63.
- Noor El-Deen T.M. and El-Ashwah , A . M (2019): Effect of Fertilization with Humic Acid and Ammonium Sulfate on the Quality of *Asparagus densiflorus* "Meyerii" Plants. Ornamental Plants and Landscape Gardening Res. Dept., Hort. Res. Inst., Arc, Egypt. J. of

Horticultural Science & Ornamental Plants 11 (3): 289-298.

- Noor El-Deen, T.M. (2020). Production of stunted pot plants from *Ruellia simplex*. Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research. Vol. 09. 308-320.
- Peña-Méndez, E. M., Havel, J., and Patočka, J. (2005). Humic substances—compounds of still unknown structure: applications in agriculture, industry, environment, and biomedicine. J. Appl. Biomed. 3, 13–24.
- Pushpa, B., K.P. Latha, V.P. Vaidya, A. Shruthi and C. Shweath, (2011). In vitro Anthelmintic activiy of leaves extracts of *Tabernaemontana coronaria*. 3(4): 1788-1790.
- Pinto, A.C.R.; Graziano, T.T; Barbosa J.C; and Lasmar, F.B. (2006). Growth retardants on production of flowering potted Thai tulip. Bragantia, 65: 369-380.
- PGRSA, (2007). Plant growth regulation handbook of the Plant Growth Regulation Society of America. 4th Edition. The Plant Growth Regulation Society of America, Athens. (6B): 724-742.
- Sharaf-Eldien, M. N.; Samia Z. El-Bably and Magouz, M. R. (2017). Effect of Pinching and Spraying of Paclobutrazol on Vegetative Growth, Flowering and Chemical Composition of *Zinnia elegans*, Jacq. J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 8(5):587-592, 2017.

## تأثير الهيومك اسيد والباكلوبيوترازول والبورون على نمو نبات التابرنامونتانا

# مواهب الفرغلى- سعيد على الدسوقى - محمد احمد ماضى - احمد سعيد يوسف

اجريت تجربة اصص خلال موسم 2020/2020-2020/2020 على نبات التابرنامونتانا التجربه عاملية مكونه من ثلاث عوامل وهم الهيومك اسيد مضافا للتربه بتركيز 2جم/لتر والباكلوبيوترازول بتركيزات 0,20,40 جزء في المليون والبورون بتركيزات 0,2,4 ملجم/لتر رشا على الاوراق وبذلك تضمنت التجربه 18 معامله (2 هيومك \*3 باكلوبيوترازول\*3 بورون) ولقد لوحظ انخفاض في طول النباتات المعامله مقارنه بالكنترول وذلك باستخدام المعاملات الباكلوبيوترازول 20 و 40جزء في المليون منفردا او مجتمعا مع البورون بتركيز كملجم/لتر رشا على وجود الهيومك اسيد وعلى العكس من ذلك كل المعاملات المستخدمه ادت الى زيادة في كل القياسات الخضريه ومنها حجم الجزو لكل من الجزور والاوراق بالاصافة الى الزيادة المعنوية في محتوى الاوراق من صبغة الكلوروفيل وذلك مقارنة بالنباتات الغير معاملة خلال الموسمين.

الكلمات الاساسية: نبات التابر نامونتانا ١- لباكلوبيوتر ازول – البورون - الهيومك اسيد – النمو –الكلوروفيل الكلي.