

#### Annals of Agricultural Science, Moshtohor (ASSJM) https://assjm.journals.ekb.eg/



• 2023, Faculty of Agriculture, Benha University, Egypt.

ISSN:1110-0419

**Original Article** Vol. 63(1) (2025), 9 – 20

DOI: 10.21608/assjm.2025.345648.1329



### Study the Effect of Spraying Pre-Harvest Treatments by Chitosan and Some Compounds as Normal and Nano Forms on Wonderful Pomegranate Fruits Storability

Azhar. M. Abd El-Hakm<sup>1</sup>.El-Badawy, H.M<sup>1</sup>. Abd El-Moneim, Eman<sup>2</sup>. El-Gioushy, S.F<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Benha University, Moshtohor, Toukh, Egypt.

<sup>2</sup>Horticultural Crops Technology Dept., NRC, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

Corresponding author: azhar.abdelhakm@nfsa.gov.eg

#### Abstract

This research was carried out on a wonderful pomegranate cultivar at The National Research Centre, Researches and Production Station, at El-Nobaria district, El-Behaira Governorate, Egypt. Also, the post-harvest treatments were carried out in the laboratory at the Agricultural Development Systems (ADS) project, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University at (7°C – RH 90%) during two successive seasons (2020-2021 and 2021-2022). Wonderful cultivar pomegranate fruits in pre-harvest received seven applications of Chitosan 3g/L, Nano Chitosan 1.5 g/L, CaCl<sub>2</sub> 2g/L, Nano CaCl<sub>2</sub> 1g/L, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> 1g/L, Nano K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L and control. The results proved the applications of pre-harvest study on Wonderful cultivar pomegranate fruits recorded that using 1g/L Nano CaCl<sub>2</sub> showed the highest average of the chemical and physical characteristics of the fruits in two seasons under cold storage conditions (7°c and RH 90%). On the other hand, the data on the interaction between the treatments and the storage periods was recorded as the minimum average results of POD enzyme when using Nano CaCl<sub>2</sub> 1g/L after four weeks under cold storage in both seasons under study.

**Keywords:** wonderful, pomegranate, pre-harvest, enzyme

#### Introduction

The pomegranate (Punica granatum) is a fruitbearing deciduous and rich in symbolic mythological associations in many cultures and also a non-climacteric fruit, it should be harvested fully ripe to ensure optimal qualitative attributes. In addition, the abundant content of water in the fruit and numerous natural pores on the peel are subject to weight loss (Kader et al., 1984). The cultivated area reached (80515) feddan, with a productivity of (672064) ton (Economic Affairs Sector, 2020). Chitosan, a high molecular-weight cationic polysaccharide produced by the deacetylation of chitin, is applied widely in postharvest because of its film-forming and biochemical properties (Lin et al., 2008; Jianglian and Shaoying, 2013; Shiekh et al., 2013). Nano chitosan has broad antimicrobial activity against fungal pathogens, but the bulk size limits its solubility which affects its antimicrobial property, have also raised concerns about adverse effects on environmental health, investigated undertaken to study the effect of nano chitosan and nano micronutrients on fruit drop, yield and quality (Mishra et al., 2023) Calcium plays

an important role in plant life cycle as it influence intake of nitrogen and boron, promotes early roots formation and growth, increase calcium content of food and feed crops. Also, promoted the early solidification and hardening of concrete (Bai et al., 2022 and Pandya et al., 2023). K is involved in numerous biochemical and physiological processes vital to plant growth, yield, quality and stress (Lester et al., 2010).

The present investigation was undertaken to study the effect of spraying (Chitosan, Nano Chitosan, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, Nano CaCl<sub>2</sub>,  $K_2CO_3$ , Nano  $K_2CO_3$ ) as pre-harvest treatments on fruit quality of Wonderful pomegranate under cold storage conditions.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The experimental study was conducted at The National Research Centre, Researches and Production Station, at El-Nobaria district, El-Behaira Governorate, Egypt. during both 2020-2021 and 2021- 2022 seasons on pomegranate fruits CV. wonderful. Fruits of pomegranate were selected carefully and harvested at the same maturity stage. The pomegranate fruits were used in this investigation to study and evaluate some

chemical properties therefore, the main objective of this experiment was to study the effect of some preharvest treatments with some chemical substances (compounds) on fruit quality under cold storage conditions (7°c and RH 90%). In this regard, the different seven pre-harvest treatments were concluded as follows: -

- 1- Chitosan application at 3.0 g/L.
- 2- Nano chitosan application at 1.5 g/L.
- 3- CaCl<sub>2</sub> application at 2.0 g/L.
- 4- Nano CaCl<sub>2</sub> application at 1.0 g/L.
- 5-  $K_2Co_3$  application at 1.0 g/L.
- 6- Nano K<sub>2</sub>Co<sub>3</sub> application at 0.5 g/L.
- 7- Tap water as (control).

Moreover, every treatment was replicated three times while each replicate was represented by (15) fruits whereas the experiment was arranged in a completely randomized design.

#### -Fruit quality assessments

- a. Total soluble solids (TSS° Brix): of the wonderful pomegranate were determined using a digital refractometer (Model PR-32, Atago, Japan) by squeezing the juice.
- **b.** Total Sugars %: In ethanol extract, total sugars were determined by using the phenol-sulphuric acids methods (Dubois et al., 1956) as follows: One ml of ethanol sugars extracted was mixed with phenol (0.5ml 5%) in a test tube and immediately followed by the addition of 5 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid then the mixture was shaken gently and left to cool. The blank contained all the reagents without fruit extract which was replaced with 1 ml 80% ethanol. The absorbance of the developed yellow-orange color was measured at 490 nm using a spectrophotometer. A standard curve was carried out using pure glucose with a suitable Figs concentration. The number of total sugars was calculated and expressed as a percentage.
- **c.** Total tetra table acidity (TA) % was determined by titration with a standard solution of sodium hydroxide (0.1N), using phenolphthalein as an indicator (A.O.A.C., 2010). The results were expressed as percentages of anhydrous tartaric acid according to the following equation.

$$Total\ acidity\ = \frac{\text{M1 of NaOH x 0.0075}}{\text{M1 juice used}}\ X\ 100$$

**d. Juice ratio:** 1-Weigh your 5 fruit and record the combined weight in grams. 2-Weigh the empty 1 or 2-liter jug and record the weight in grams. 3-Juice all 5 fruits using the juicer. 4-

Apply even force and try to remove all the juice. 5-Strain the juice into the jug. 6-Weigh the juice and record the weight in grams, then subtract the weight of the jug. 7- Calculate the percentage juice content by dividing the juice weight by the total fruit weight. 8-Multiply this by 100 to get the percentage.

(Juice weight ÷ Fruit weight) x 100 = percentage (%) juice

- e. Vitamin C (L Ascorbic Acid): mg/100 mL juice: Vitamin C content was measured by the colorimetric method described in A.O.A.C (2010) based on the reduction of 2, 6- di chlorophenol indophenol-sodium (DCIP), standardized with ascorbic acid. The fruit ascorbic acid extracts were titrated with DCIP solution until a light rose pink hue persisted for 30 seconds. The amount of DCIP solution used in the titration stage was determined and used to calculate vitamin C (100 mg mL-1 juice) content.
- f. Anthocyanin and Peroxidase enzyme (POD): The method of Liquid Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS) has been widely used for qualitative analysis of anthocyanins and molecular weight and structure of anthocyanins can be identified which is effective for the identification of anthocyanins. Samples were frozen in a cold aqueous Methanol 80% (v/v). Then it was adjusted to 20 ml/g and stored at 2°C for 48 hours. Hormones were extracted according to (Wasfy and Orrin, 1975). The determination of plant hormones and total free amino acids (g/100g FW) as a Lysine was carried out by using High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) finally, it was determined according to A.O.A.C. (2010).

#### Statistical analysis

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done for each season separately as a completely randomized design, according to procedures reported by Gomez and Gomez, (1984). The differences between mean values of treatments were compared by the least significant difference (LSD) test at 0.05 level of probability. Data were analyzed using (ANOVA) in the MSTAT-C software package (Freed et al., 1989).

#### **Results and Discussion**

a. Effect of spraying pre-harvest treatments on TSS % of Wonderful pomegranate fruits under cold storage conditions

Data in Table (1) showed that TSS content gave the maximum data with 1.0 g/l Nano CaCl<sub>2</sub> and the minimum data with control treatment in both seasons. Storage periods (Weeks) in Table (1) showed that The maximum TSS% was recorded after four weeks in this study and followed by a decrease after five and six weeks in both seasons. Data of interactions between treatments and storage periods (Weeks) has recorded that TSS content was not significantly affected by all treatments with the same Nano treatments but, Nano K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L, Nano CaCl<sub>2</sub> 1g/L and Nano chitosan 1.5 g/l gave the maximum TSS content in the first season. However, data in the second season showed that the same maximum TSS content in fruits was recorded in Nano K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> 1.0/L, CaCl<sub>2</sub> 2g/L, and Nano chitosan 1.5 g/l compared to the control and other treatments under the study. Assar and Taghipour (2022) showed that significant differences were detected only with chitosan application. Increasing TSS% with spraying with chitosan only or in combination with other treatments (Meng et al., 2008 and Ghasemnezhad et al., 2013). On the other hand, Khalil and Aly (2013) spraying treatment on pomegranate in TSS% decreased with 3% Ca, 0.3% B and 0.3% Zn. Carbohydrates, organic acids, and their rapid translocation to the fruits have led to the accumulation of more sugars and other soluble solids content in the fruits. Also, reported that the increase in the level of total soluble solids and sugar content in the fruit will help to lower the fruit acidity (Aziz et al., 2017; Meena et al., 2018; Yadav et al., 2018; Harhash et al., 2019; and Ibtesam et al., 2019).

**Table 1.** The effect of spraying pre-harvest treatments on TSS % of Wonderful pomegranate fruits under cold storage conditions during (2020-2021 / 2021-2022) seasons.

Treatments				age periods				Means		
			TS	SS % ( 2020	-2021)					
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th			
Chitosan 3g/L	14.65	14.75	15.40	16.10	16.15	15.95	15.00	15.43		
Nano Chitosan 1.5 g/L	15.60	15.90	16.48	16.52	17.45	14.75	14.67	15.91		
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L	15.95	16.10	16.15	16.70	16.70	16.45	13.90	15.99		
Nano CaCl <sub>2</sub> 1g/L	15.30	15.75	16.15	16.85	17.05	16.85	14.70	16.09		
K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 1g/L	15.55	15.80	16.35	16.62	16.90	16.15	14.15	15.93		
Nano K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L	15.50	15.85	16.85	16.90	17.25	16.45	12.75	15.94		
Control	14.45	14.70	15.90	16.42	16.25	16.20	13.73	15.38		
Means	15.29	15.55	16.18	16.58	16.82	16.11	14.13			
LSD 0.05	Treatm	ents (A)=(	).228	Storage peri	iods (Weel	(s) B = 0.22	$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} =$	0.539		
Treatments		Storage periods (Weeks)								
			TS	SS % (2021-	-2022)					
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th			
Chitosan 3g/L	14.60	14.97	15.00	15.77	15.97	15.40	15.10	15.26		
Nano Chitosan	15.20	15.25	15.60	15.75	16.88	15.45	14.20	15.48		
1.5 g/L										
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L	15.97	16.37	16.47	16.50	16.90	15.38	14.53	16.02		
Nano CaCl <sub>2</sub> 1g/L	15.83	15.67	16.00	16.37	16.63	16.60	15.20	16.04		
K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 1g/L	15.37	15.89	16.27	16.30	16.90	15.95	14.30	15.85		
Nano K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L	15.67	15.90	16.23	16.28	16.90	16.15	14.00	15.88		
Control	14.17	14.57	14.75	15.07	16.30	16.10	13.55	14.93		
Means	15.26	15.52	<b>15.76</b>	16.00	16.64	15.86	14.41			
LSD 0.05	T	ents (A)=	0.201	Storage per	inda (XXI)	I-a) (D) (	410 A.D	1.020		

## **b.** Effect of spraying pre-harvest treatments on total sugar of Wonderful pomegranate fruits under cold storage conditions

Regarding the total sugar data in **Table (2)** indicate that both treatments of Nano  $K_2CO_3$  0.5g/L and  $K_2CO_3$  1.0g/L were exhibited the highest average of total sugar in wonderful pomegranate fruits under pre-harvest stage under study in both seasons as compared with the control and other treatments. As for, the storage periods (Weeks) were significantly affected

by increased time, the great average of total sugar recorded after four weeks under study as compared to the start and the end stages during both seasons of study. Data of total sugar interactions with treatments and the storage periods (Weeks) showed that the highest values of total sugar recorded with Nano  $K_2CO_3$  0.5g/L after four weeks in both seasons respectively followed in descending order by  $K_2CO_3$  1g/L, Nano CaCl<sub>2</sub> 1g/L, Nano Chitosan 1.5 g/L, CaCl<sub>2</sub> 2g/L, Chitosan 3 g/L and control, respactivly. Al-Saif et al. (2023) found that sugars percentages were

increased by the spraying of potassium nitrate at 3 and 2%. Also, **Mohamed** *et al.* (2023) found that the best-influencing treatment on the reducing sugars % was KNO<sub>3</sub> at 500 ppm which gave an average of 14.51% with an increment of 49.1% over the control. In another site, **Khalil and Aly** (2013) recorded that increase in total sugar content with 3% Ca, 0.3% B and 0.3% Zn.

#### c. Effect of spraying pre-harvest treatments on acidity %of Wonderful pomegranate fruits under cold storage conditions

Acidity percentage in **Table (3)** recorded that, acidity percentage was significantly affected by all treatments, storage periods (Weeks), and the interaction between them in both seasons. Also, the treatments in Table 3 showed that acidity % decreased with 0.5 g/l of Nano  $K_2Co_3$  treatment than other treatments and control in the first season. However, in the second one, the treatment of Nano  $CaCl_2$  1.0 g/l recorded the lowest percentage of acidity compared to the other treatments used. Data of storage periods (Weeks) in Table 3 showed that acidity gave the lowest

percentage after four weeks under study in both seasons. On the other hand, a gradual increased in acidity% start and end of this study in both seasons. Data in interactions between treatments and storage periods (Weeks) relative that acidity percentage gave the lowest results with 0.5 g/l Nano  $K_2Co_3$  after four weeks in the first season but, in the second season, the lowest acidity % recorded in Nano  $CaCl_2$  1.0 g/l treatment in the same storage periods (Weeks).

Data harmony in the study with (Al-Saif et al., 2023) and Mohamed et al., 2023) found that fruit acidity was lowered by the spraying of potassium nitrate at 2 and 3% (0.35 and 0.3; 0.37 and 0.34%), which gave the most positive influence as compared to the other treatments in both seasons and KNO<sub>3</sub> at 500 ppm treatment gave the lowest acidity percentage (0.93%). On the other hand, Khalil and Aly, 2013; Davarpanah et al., 2018 and Abd El-wahed et al., 2021) recorded that spraying calcium chloride in the pre-harvest stage gave the lowest percentage of acidity in pomegranate fruits.

**Table (2):** The effect of spraying pre-harvest treatments on total sugar content of Wonderful pomegranate fruits under cold storage conditions during (2020-2021 / 2021-2022) seasons.

Treatments			Storag	ge periods	(Weeks)			Means
			Total suga	r content	( 2020-202	1)		
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
Chitosan 3g/L	10.72	10.87	10.92	11.53	12.02	11.22	9.580	10.98
Nano Chitosan 1.5 g/L	10.52	10.69	11.33	12.78	13.88	13.08	11.65	11.99
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L	11.32	11.47	12.13	12.25	13.35	12.55	10.87	11.99
Nano CaCl <sub>2</sub> 1g/L	11.19	11.34	13.48	14.74	13.94	11.87	8.333	12.13
K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 1g/L	11.74	11.89	12.31	13.22	14.32	13.52	11.92	12.70
Nano K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L	12.02	12.17	12.83	13.88	14.98	14.18	10.89	12.99
Control	10.08	10.23	10.89	11.30	10.50	10.20	9.030	10.32
Means	10.214	9.18	8.468	7.675	<b>7.297</b>	7.693	8.977	
LSD 0.05	Treatn	nents (A)=	0.651 <b>Stor</b>	age period	ls (Weeks)	$(\mathbf{B}) = 0.657$	$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = 1.6$	511
Treatments			Storag	ge periods	(Weeks)			Means
			Total suga	ar content	(2021-2022	2)		
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
Chitosan 3g/L	10.68	10.83	11.49	11.98	11.18	10.88	9.657	10.96
Nano Chitosan 1.5 g/L	10.48	10.63	11.29	12.74	13.51	13.04	11.73	11.92
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L	11.28	11.43	12.09	12.21	13.31	12.51	10.95	11.97
Nano CaCl <sub>2</sub> 1g/L	11.15	11.30	11.96	13.60	14.70	13.90	11.95	12.65
K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 1g/L	11.70	11.85	12.51	13.18	14.28	13.48	12.00	12.72
Nano K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L	11.98	12.13	12.79	13.84	14.94	14.14	10.97	12.97
Control	10.24	10.39	11.05	11.26	10.48	10.16	9.107	10.39
Means	10.67	9.946	9.125	7.814	6.984	7.392	8.038	
LSD 0.05	Treatn	nents (A)=	0.061 <b>Stor</b>	age period	ls (Weeks)	$(\mathbf{B}) = 0.066$	$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = 0.1$	62

Treatments			Stora	ge periods	(Weeks)			Means			
		Acidity % ( 2020-2021)									
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th				
Chitosan 3g/L	1.250	1.567	1.200	0.950	0.900	1.050	1.250	1.167			
Nano Chitosan 1.5 g/L	1.200	1.700	1.150	1.050	1.050	1.000	0.950	1.157			
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L	1.000	1.400	1.250	1.100	1.000	0.950	1.200	1.129			
Nano CaCl <sub>2</sub> 1g/L	1.050	1.050	1.100	1.000	0.900	1.000	1.050	1.021			
K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 1g/L	0.850	0.900	0.950	0.800	0.800	0.850	1.050	0.885			
Nano K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L	1.300	1.000	0.950	0.650	0.450	0.500	0.750	0.800			
Control	1.067	1.400	1.587	1.150	1.300	1.450	1.550	1.358			
Means	1.102	1.288	1.169	0.957	0.914	0.971	1.114				
LSD 0.05	Treatm	ents (A)=(	0.175 <b>Sto</b>	rage perio	ds (Weeks	$(\mathbf{B}) = 0.102$	$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = 0.$	252			
Treatments		Storage periods (Weeks)									
		Acidity % (2021-2022)									
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th				
Chitosan 3g/L	1.150	1.583	1.200	0.983	0.900	0.916	1.073	1.115			
Nano Chitosan	1.500	1.287	1.100	0.716	1.000	1.067	1.183	1.108			
1.5 g/L											
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L	0.966	1.000	0.990	0.783	0.550	0.636	0.840	0.823			
Nano CaCl <sub>2</sub> 1g/L	1.117	1.000	0.950	0.650	0.450	0.500	0.750	0.774			
K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 1g/L	1.000	1.083	1.100	1.000	0.866	1.200	1.283	1.090			
Nano K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L	0.750	0.883	1.183	1.083	0.816	0.816	1.000	0.933			
Control	1.150	1.400	1.583	1.300	1.183	1.250	1.283	1.307			
Means	1.090	1.177	1.158	0.931	0.824	0.912	1.058				
LSD 0.05	Treatm	ents (A)= (	0.057 <b>Sto</b> i	rage period	ls (Weeks)	$(\mathbf{B}) = 0.063$	$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = 0$ .	154			

**Table (3):** The effect of spraying pre-harvest treatments on acidity % of Wonderful pomegranate fruits under cold storage conditions during (2020-2021 / 2021-2022) seasons.

## d. Effect of spraying pre-harvest treatments on juice ratio of Wonderful pomegranate fruits under cold storage conditions

Data of treatments in **Table (4)** recorded the highest juice ratio with Nano CaCl<sub>2</sub> 1g/L (52.78 and 54.06, during the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively) as follows decrease CaCl<sub>2</sub> 2g/L, Nano K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> 1g/L, Nano Chitosan 1.5 g/L, Chitosan 3g/L, while control was recorded as the lowest data of juice ratio in both seasons. In addition, storage periods (weeks) in **Table (4)** showed that the highest results of juice ratio recorded after four weeks (51.27 and 55.15, respectively) under study than other storage periods (weeks) were used in both seasons. Data of interactions between treatments with storage periods in Table 4 showed that the maximum average of juice ratio

recorded with CaCl<sub>2</sub> 2.0g/L after four weeks in the study compared to other interactions of treatments and storage periods (weeks) in both seasons.

Khalil and Aly (2013) used some sprays of growth regulators and mineral nutrients. The results showed that the lowest fruit juice percentage from trees sprayed with CaCl<sub>2</sub> at 3% in both seasons. Kamel et al. (2016) They reported that the storage duration effect on the arils juice (%) of the juice content of Wonderful arils decreased at cold storage 5°C in comparison with fruits that at harvest time. Al-Saif et al. (2023) reported that fruit juice content was statistically increased by the spraying of potassium nitrate at 2 and 3% and calcium nitrate at 4%. On the other hand, Assar and Taghipour (2022) showed that juice had a significantly slower rate for treated arils than control.

**Table (4):** The effect of spraying pre-harvest treatments on juice ratio of Wonderful pomegranate fruits under cold storage conditions during (2020-2021 / 2021-2022) seasons.

The effect of spraying application treatments of Wonderful pomegranate fruits.

Treatments			Stora	ge periods	(Weeks)			Means
			juice	ratio ( 202	0-2021)			
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
Chitosan 3g/L	41.72	45.33	50.38	51.04	52.28	37.61	31.87	44.32
Nano Chitosan 1.5 g/L	40.09	46.41	47.02	52.39	52.61	39.69	33.67	44.55
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L	43.52	44.62	47.95	49.61	60.59	46.79	40.72	47.68
Nano CaCl <sub>2</sub> 1g/L	45.23	51.61	54.44	57.42	50.98	60.10	49.65	52.78
K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 1g/L	44.01	42.59	42.86	47.62	56.47	44.01	36.74	44.90
Nano K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L	42.79	45.79	51.61	51.72	55.29	40.51	36.10	46.26
Control	44.94	63.80	33.86	33.58	30.70	30.59	25.08	37.51
Means	43.19	48.59	46.87	49.05	51.27	42.76	36.26	
LSD 0.05	Treatme	ents (A)=3.	228 <mark>Storage</mark>	periods (V	Veeks) (B)=	=3.508 <b>A</b> ×	$\mathbf{B} = 8.593$	
Treatments			Stora	ge periods	(Weeks)			Means
			juice	ratio (202	1-2022)			
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
Chitosan 3g/L	47.27	48.71	49.41	54.47	55.76	37.55	35.36	46.93
Nano Chitosan 1.5 g/L	41.53	51.01	54.28	56.00	57.30	45.26	32.74	48.30
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L	50.37	50.99	54.70	55.39	65.69	49.79	49.24	53.74
Nano CaCl <sub>2</sub> 1g/L	51.23	53.85	54.23	54.82	57.29	60.46	46.57	54.06
K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 1g/L	43.99	49.74	56.14	56.79	60.54	47.11	37.78	50.30
Nano K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L	50.65	51.86	55.86	56.33	58.05	42.86	38.57	50.60
Control	56.11	62.45	45.80	45.33	34.70	33.01	31.41	44.11
Means	48.73	<b>52.66</b>	52.92	<b>54.16</b>	55.62	45.15	38.81	
LSD 0.05	Treatme	ents (A)= 3	.246 Storag	ge periods (	Weeks) (B)	$=4.051 \text{ A} \times$	$\mathbf{B} = 8.323$	

## e. Effect of spraying pre-harvest treatments on vitamin C content of Wonderful pomegranate fruits under cold storage conditions

Treatments in **Table (5)** showed that Vitamin C content gave the maximum data of Vitamin C content with 1.0 g/l Nano CaCl<sub>2</sub> and the minimum Vitamin C content with control treatment in both seasons. Storage periods (Weeks) in **Table (5)** showed

that the maximum Vitamin C content was recorded after four weeks in the study and followed by a decrease at other weeks in both seasons under study. Data of interactions between treatments and storage periods (Weeks) has recorded that the maximum average of vitamin C content recorded with Nano CaCl<sub>2</sub> 1g/L after four weeks in the study compared to other interactions of treatments and storage periods (Weeks) in both seasons.

**Table 5.** The effect of spraying pre-harvest treatments on vitamin C content of Wonderful pomegranate fruits under cold storage conditions during (2020-2021 / 2021-2022) seasons.

Treatments		Storage periods (Weeks)  Vitamin C content ( 2020-2021)									
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th				
Chitosan 3g/L	11.66	12.36	12.66	13.26	13.66	12.79	12.49	12.70			
Nano Chitosan1.5 g/L	12.48	13.18	13.48	14.08	14.48	13.26	12.96	13.42			
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L	14.51	15.18	15.48	16.08	14.72	14.42	11.48	14.55			
Nano CaCl <sub>2</sub> 1g/L	14.02	14.72	15.02	15.62	16.02	15.46	15.16	15.14			
K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 1g/L	12.87	13.63	13.87	14.47	14.87	13.96	13.66	13.91			
Nano K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L	13.34	14.03	14.34	14.94	15.34	13.77	13.47	14.17			
Control	12.60	12.97	13.14	12.68	12.43	12.16	10.96	12.42			
Means	13.06	13.72	14.00	14.45	14.50	13.69	12.88				
LSD 0.05	Treatm	ents (A)=0.	.921 Storag	ge periods (	Weeks) (B	)=0.887 <b>A</b> :	$\times$ <b>B</b> = 2.174				
Treatments			Stora	ge periods	(Weeks)			Means			
			Vitamin	C content	(2021-2022	2)					
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th				

Chitosan 3g/L	11.96	12.96	12.99	13.56	13.96	13.09	12.79	13.05
Nano Chitosan 1.5 g/L	12.78	13.48	13.78	14.41	14.78	13.56	13.23	13.72
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L	14.32	15.01	15.32	15.92	15.99	15.75	15.46	15.39
Nano CaCl <sub>2</sub> 1g/L	14.78	15.48	15.78	16.38	16.78	15.02	14.73	15.57
K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 1g/L	13.17	13.87	14.17	14.77	14.84	14.26	13.96	14.15
Nano K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L	13.97	14.44	14.84	15.37	15.11	13.97	13.70	14.48
Control	13.70	13.82	13.99	11.95	11.78	11.57	11.33	12.59
Means	13.53	14.15	14.41	14.62	14.75	13.89	13.60	
LSD 0.05	Treatme	ents (A)= 0	.190 <b>Stora</b>	ge periods	(Weeks) (F	(3) = 0.158 A	$\times \mathbf{B} = 0.388$	

#### e. Effect of spraying pre-harvest treatments on anthocyanin content of Wonderful pomegranate fruits under cold storage conditions

**Table (6)** showed that the anthocyanin content was significantly affected by all treatments, storage periods (Weeks) and the interaction between them in both seasons. Also, Data of treatments recorded the highest anthocyanin content with Nano CaCl $_2$  1g/L as follows decrease CaCl $_2$  2g/L, Nano K $_2$ CO $_3$  0.5g/L, K $_2$ CO $_3$  1g/L, Nano Chitosan 1.5 g/L, Chitosan 3g/L, and control were recorded as the lowest data of

anthocyanin content in both seasons. In addition, storage periods (Weeks) in **Table (6)** showed that the highest results of anthocyanin content recorded after four weeks under study than other storage periods were used in both seasons. Data of interactions between treatments with storage periods (Weeks) in **Table (6)** showed that the maximum average of anthocyanin content recorded with Nano CaCl<sub>2</sub> 1g/L after four weeks in the study compared to other interactions of treatments and storage periods (Weeks) in both seasons.

**Table 6.** The effect of spraying pre-harvest treatments on anthocyanin content of Wonderful pomegranate fruits under cold storage conditions during (2020-2021 / 2021-2022) seasons.

Treatments		Storage periods (Weeks)  Anthocyanin content ( 2020-2021)								
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th			
Chitosan 3g/L	13.70	13.84	14.01	11.91	11.74	11.49	11.38	12.58		
Nano Chitosan 1.5 g/L	13.66	13.78	13.95	13.77	13.53	13.41	12.63	13.53		
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L	13.52	13.54	13.71	15.23	15.59	15.33	14.68	14.51		
Nano CaCl <sub>2</sub> 1g/L	13.60	13.72	13.89	15.98	16.44	15.80	14.65	14.87		
K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 1g/L	13.77	13.93	14.10	14.63	14.66	14.01	9.96	13.58		
Nano K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L	13.75	13.87	14.04	14.33	14.78	14.57	14.24	14.23		
Control	12.60	12.97	13.14	12.68	12.43	12.16	10.96	12.42		
Means	13.51	13.66	13.83	14.08	14.17	13.82	12.64			
LSD 0.05	Treatm	ents (A)=0	).831 <b>St</b> o	orage perio	ods (Week	<b>s</b> ) <b>(B)</b> = $0.7$	79 <b>A</b> × <b>B</b>	= 1.909		
Treatments		Storage periods (Weeks)								
		Anthocyanin content (2021-2022)								
	zero	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th			
Chitosan 3g/L	13.37	13.49	13.66	12.56	12.36	12.11	11.31	12.70		
Nano Chitosan 1.5 g/L	13.67	13.79	13.98	13.83	13.67	13.37	12.50	13.54		
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L	13.56	13.68	13.85	15.18	15.63	15.43	14.60	14.56		
Nano CaCl <sub>2</sub> 1g/L	13.57	13.63	13.80	16.02	16.39	16.14	14.88	14.92		
K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 1g/L	13.71	13.86	14.03	14.25	14.69	14.50	13.95	14.14		
Nano K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L	13.77	13.95	14.12	14.38	14.74	14.49	14.25	14.24		
Control	13.70	13.82	13.99	11.95	11.78	11.57	11.33	12.59		
Means	13.62	13.75	13.92	14.02	14.18	13.94	13.26			
LSD 0.05	Treatm	ents (A)=	0.086 <b>St</b> o	rage perio	ods (Week	(B) = 0.0	93 <b>A</b> × <b>B</b>	= 0.230		

## f. Effect of spraying pre-harvest treatments on peroxidase enzyme (POD) of Wonderful pomegranate fruits under cold storage conditions

Data in **Table** (7) confirmed that the Peroxidase enzyme was significantly affected by all treatments, storage periods (Weeks) and the interaction between them in both seasons. The highest POD of fruits was recorded with control treatment, followed in descending order by Chitosan 3 g/L, Nano Chitosan 1.5

g/L,  $K_2CO_3$  1g/L, Nano  $K_2CO_3$  0.5g/L,  $CaCl_2$  2g/L and 1g/L Nano  $CaCl_2$  in both seasons under the study of the pre-harvest stage. The storage period (Weeks) treatments showed the highest peroxidase enzyme after six weeks compared to the results at start the study in both seasons. Data on the interaction between the treatments and the storage periods (Weeks) was recorded as the minimum average results of POD when using 1g/L Nano  $CaCl_2$  after four weeks in both seasons under study.

**Table (7)** The effect of spraying pre-harvest treatments on Peroxidase enzyme (POD) of Wonderful pomegranate fruits under cold storage conditions during (2020-2021 / 2021-2022) seasons.

treatments		Storage	periods (Week	as)	Means				
	P	eroxidase enzy	me (POD) ( 20	020-2021)					
	Zero	2nd	4th	6th					
Chitosan 3g/L	11.81	11.51	11.00	15.16	12.37				
Nano Chitosan 1.5 g/L	11.28	11.15	10.85	12.50	11.45				
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L	10.76	10.52	10.22	10.67	10.54				
Nano CaCl <sub>2</sub> 1g/L	11.19	9.56	9.26	11.38	10.35				
K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 1g/L	11.26	10.59	10.29	12.26	11.10				
Nano K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L	10.82	10.33	10.03	11.16	10.59				
Control	12.03	11.90	11.60	15.80	12.83				
Means	11.31	10.79	10.46	12.70					
LSD 0.05	Treatments	s(A) = 0.099 St	orage periods	(Weeks) (B)=	$0.452 \ \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = 1.108$				
treatments		Storage periods (Weeks)							
	I	Peroxidase enzyme (POD) (2021-2022)							
	Zero	2nd	4th	6th					
Chitosan 3g/L	12.13	11.49	11.34	15.26	12.56				
					12.50				
Nano Chitosan 1.5 g/L	12.07	10.64	10.49	12.95	11.54				
	12.07 11.64								
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L		10.64	10.49	12.95	11.54				
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L Nano CaCl <sub>2</sub> 1g/L	11.64	10.64 9.70	10.49 9.55	12.95 12.25	11.54 10.79				
Nano Chitosan 1.5 g/L CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L Nano CaCl <sub>2</sub> 1g/L K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 1g/L Nano K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L	11.64 12.01	10.64 9.70 9.58	10.49 9.55 9.43	12.95 12.25 11.44	11.54 10.79 10.62				
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L Nano CaCl <sub>2</sub> 1g/L K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 1g/L	11.64 12.01 11.31	10.64 9.70 9.58 11.26	10.49 9.55 9.43 10.84	12.95 12.25 11.44 12.26	11.54 10.79 10.62 11.42				
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2g/L  Nano CaCl <sub>2</sub> 1g/L  K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 1g/L  Nano K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 0.5g/L	11.64 12.01 11.31 11.68	10.64 9.70 9.58 11.26 9.90	10.49 9.55 9.43 10.84 9.75	12.95 12.25 11.44 12.26 12.06	11.54 10.79 10.62 11.42 10.85				

The results of **Tables** (5), (6) and (7) confirmed with (**Khalil and Aly, 2013; Davarpanah** *et al.*, **2018; Abd El-wahed** *et al.*, **2021**) they recorded that the maximum anthocyanin content and Vitamin C content when using calcium chloride only or in combination with another mineral in the pre-harvest stage of pomegranate but the Peroxidase enzyme decreased in the same treatments.

Also, the same results were recorded with potassium or chitosan application treatments compared to control in other studies or other cultivars of fruit trees. The probable increase in the anthocyanin content of the fruit might be due to the combined application of

nutrients especially potassium will enhance the fruit anthocyanin content which has a positive correlation with the anthocyanin accumulation in the fruit and also plays a crucial role in anthocyanin synthesis by increasing the translocation of sugars to the developing fruits, as well as act as a co-factor and stimulator of enzymes which are involved in the synthesis of anthocyanin and phenol compounds. The foliar application of chitosan will increase the expression of genes involved in the biosynthesis of flavonoid compounds such as flavonol synthase anthocyanidin synthase which improves the anthocyanin contents in the fruits. According to Abdel

Fattah et al., 2016; Khedr, 2021; Assar and Taghipour, 2022; Al-Saif et al., 2023; El-Shereif et al., 2023 and Mohamed et al., 2023.

#### Conclusion

From the aforementioned results and discussions, it could be concluded that the applications of some chemical substances as pre-harvest study on Wonderful cultivar pomegranate fruits recorded that using 1g/L Nano CaCl2 showed the highest average of the chemical and physical characteristics of the fruits in two seasons under cold storage condition (7°c and RH 90%).

#### References

- Abd El-Wahed, A. N., Abd–Alrazik, A. M. and Khalifa, S. M. (2021). Effect of some nutrients on growth, yield and fruit quality of "Wonderful" cultivar pomegranate. Al-Azhar Journal of Agricultural Research., V. (46) No. (1): 1-15.
- Abdel Fattah, A.A., Ashoush, I. S. and Alnashi, B. A. (2016). Effect of chitosan edible coating on quality attributes of pomegranate arils during cold storage. J. Food and Dairy Sci., Mansoura Univ., Vol. 7(10): 435 442.
- Al-Saif, A.M., Mosa, W. F. A., Saleh, A. A, Ali, M.M., Sas-Paszt, L., Abada, H.S. and Abdel-Sattar, M. (2023). Yield and fruit quality response of pomegranate (*Punica Granatum*) to foliar spray of potassium, calcium and kaolin. Horticulturae, 8, 946.
- Assar, P. and Taghipour, L. (2022) Postharvest treatment with edible biomaterials to preserve the quality of 'Shahvar-E-Shirin' pomegranate arils. ORIGINAL SCIENTIFIC PAPER., Agric. Conspec. Sci. Vol. (87) No. (4):343-351.
- Association of Official Agriculture Chemists (A.O.A.C). (2010). Official Methods of Analysis Chemists. Washington, D.C., U.S.A.
- Aziz, A.F.H., El-Sayed M.A. and Aly, H.A. (2017). Response of Manfalouty pomegranate trees to foliar application of salicylic acid. Assiut J Agric. Sci., 48(2):59-74.
- Bai, S., Lan, Y., Fu, S., Cheng, H., Lu, Z., and Liu, G. (2022). Connecting calcium-based nanomaterials and cancer: from diagnosis to therapy. *Nanomicro*. *Lett.* 14 (1), 145. Doi:10.1007/S40820-022-00894-6.
- Davarpanaha, S., Tehranifara, A., Abadíab "J., Valb "J., Davarynejada "G., Aranc "M. and Khorassani,R.(2018). Foliar calcium fertilization reduces fruit cracking in pomegranate (*Punica Granatum* Cv. Ardestani). Scientia Horticulturae., 230: 86–91.
- Dubois, M., Gilles, K. A., Hamilton, J. K., Rebers, P. T.

- and Smith, F. (1956). Colorimetric Method for Determination of Sugars and Related Substances. Analytical Chemistry, 28(3), 350-356.
- Economic Affairs Sector. (2020). Table (93) Cont:327.
- El-Shereif, A. R., Zerban, S. M. and El-Maadawy, M. I. (2023). Impact of nano fertilizers and chemical fertilizers on Valencia orange (*Citrus Sinensis* [L.] Osbeck) growth, yield and fruit quality. Applied Ecology and Environmental Research., 21(2):1375-1387.
- Freed, R., Einensmith, S.P., Gutez, S., Reicosky, D., Smail, V.W. and Wolberg, P. (1989). Users Guide to MSTAT-C Analysis of Agronomic Research Experiments. Michigan State University, East Lansing, U.S.A.,25-77.
- Ghasemnezhad, M., Zareh, S., Rassa, M. And Sajedi, R. H. (2013). Effect of chitosan coating on maintenance of Aril quality, microbial population and PPO activity of pomegranate (*Punica Granatum L.* Cv. Tarom) at cold storage temperature. J. Sci. Food Agric., (93): 368-374.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. (New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.), 680 P.
- Harhash, M.M., Aly, M.A.M., Nagwa, A., El-Megeed B. and Hifaa, A.B.S. (2019). Effect of some growth regulators, nutrient elements and kaolin on cracking and fruit quality of pomegranate 'Wonderful' Cultivar. J Adv. Agric. Res., 24(3):280-295.
- Ibtesam, F.M., Badawy, Eman, A.A., Abou-Zaid, E. and Hussein, M.E. (2019). Cracking and fruit quality of Manfalouty pomegranate as affected by pre-harvest of chitosan, calcium chloride and gibberellic acid spraying. Middle East J. Agric. Res., 8(3):873-882.
- Jianglian, D. and Shaoying, Z. (2013). Application of chitosan based coating in fruit and vegetable preservation: A Review. J. Food Process. Technol., 4: 5.
- Kader, A. A., Chordas, A. and Elyatem, S. M. (1984). Responses of pomegranates to ethylene treatment and storage temperature. Calif. Agric., 38(748):14-15.
- Kamel, H. M., Zaki, Z. A. and Abd El-Moneim E. A. A. (2016). Influence of treatment with aloe vera extract, honey solution and salicylic acid on quality maintenance of 'Wonderful' pomegranate fruits during cold storage. International Journal of Chemtech Research., Vol (9), No. (3): 01-15.
- Khalil, H. A. and Aly, H. S.H. (2013). Cracking and fruit quality of pomegranate (*Punica Granatum* L.) as affected by pre-harvest sprays of some growth regulators and mineral nutrients. Journal of Horticultural Science & Ornamental Plants., 5 (2): 71-76.

- Khedr, E. H. (2021). Aplicação De Diferentes
  Tratamentos De Revestimento Para Melhorar A
  Capacidade De Armazenamento E A Qualidade
  Do Fruto Da Romã (Punica Granatum L., Cv.
  Wonderful) Durante O Armazenamento
  Prolongado. Rev. Bras. Frutic., Jaboticabal., Vol.
  (44) No (2): (E-855).
- Lester, G. E., Jifon, J. L. and Makus, D. J. (2010). Impact of potassium nutrition on food quality. See Discussions, Stats, and Author Profiles for This Publication At: Https://Www.Researchgate.Net/Publication/2927 70017., Better Crops/Vol. (94), No. (1).
- Lin, L., Wang, B., Wang, M., Cao, J., Zhang, J., Wu, Y. and Jiang, W. (2008). Effects of a chitosan-based coating with ascorbic acid on post-harvest quality and core browning of Yali Pears (*Pyrus Bertschneideri Rehd.*). J.
- Meena, C.L., Meena, R.K., Sarolia, D.K., Dashora, L.K. and Singh, D. (2018). Effect of integrated nutrient management on fruit quality of pomegranate Cv. Ganesh. J Agric. Ecol., (5):67-75
- Meng, X.H., Tian, S.P., Li, B.Q and Liu, J. (2008). Physiologic responses and quality attributes of table grape fruit to chitosan preharvest spray and postharvest coating during storage. Food Chemistry, (106): 501–508.

- Mishra, R., Mishra, S., and Tripathi, R. (2023). Effect of nano chitosan and nano micronutrients on fruit drop, yield and quality of guava (*Psidium Guajava* L.). Biological Forum An International Journal., 15(10): 1244-1249.
- Mohamed, A. K.A., Shaaban, M. M., Abd El-Hamid, A. M. and Hussein, A. S. (2023). The impact of calcium chloride, potassium nitrate and flower thinning on yield component and fruit quality of Manfalouty pomegranate cultivar. Assiut Journal of Agricultural Sciences., 54 (1): (213-226).
- Pandya, Y. H., Bakshi, M., and Sharma, A. (2023). Effect of calcium nitrate and calcium carbonate on plant growth, fruit quality and yield of papaya Cv. Red Lady., International Journal of Agriculture and Animal Production ISSN 2799-0907, Vol (03), No. (03).
- Shiekh, R. A., Malik, M. A., Al-Thabaiti, S. A. and Shiekh, M. A. (2013). Chitosan as a Novel Edible Coating for Fresh Fruits. Food Sci. Technol. Res., 19(2): 139-155.
- WasFy, W. S. and Orrin, E.S. (1975). Identification of plant hormones from cotton ovules. Plant Physiol. (55):550-554.
- Yadav, V.K., Jain, M.C., Sharma, M.K. and Suman, M. (2018). Effect of micronutrient spray on physical and chemical characteristics of pomegranate (*Punica Granatum* L.) Cv. Sindhuri. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 7(2):998-1005.

# دراسة تأثير الرش بمعاملات ما قبل الحصاد بالشيتوزان وبعض المركبات بصورة عادية ونانو على قابلية تخزين ثمار الرمان وندرفل أزهار عجد عبدالحكم، أحامد الزعبلاوي البدوي، أيمان عبدالمنعم، أشريف فتحي الجيوشي.

أقسم البساتين، كلية الزراعة، جامعة بنها، مشتهر، طوخ، مصر.  $^{2}$ قسم تكنولوجيا المحاصيل البستانية ، المركز القومي للبحوث، الدقى ، الجيزة، مصر.

أجريت هذه الدراسة على ثمار أشجار الرمان صنف (وندرفل) بمزرعة المركز القومي للبحوث -محطة البحوث والانتاج - منطقة النوبارية - محافظة البحيرة - مصر. خلال موسمين متتاليين (2020-2021) و (2021-2022). حيث تم الرش كمعاملات قبل الحصاد بعدد سبع معاملات ببعض المركبات الكيماويه وكانت المعاملات كالأتى:-

- 1- الرش بالشيتوزان 3 جم / لتر.
- 2- الرش بالنانو شيتوزان 1.5 جم / لتر.
  - 3- الرش بكلوريد كالسيوم 2جم/ لتر.
- 4- الرش بالنانو كلوريد كالسيوم 1جم/ لتر.
- 5- الرش بكربونات البوتاسيوم 1جم/ لتر.
- 6- الرش بنانو كربونات البوتاسيوم 0.5 جم/لتر.
  - 7- معاملة المقارنة (أشجار رشت بالماء فقط).

وتم تخزين الثمار بعد أجراء معاملات ما قبل الحصاد عليها في الثلاجه على درجة حرارة 7 درجة مئوية ونسبة رطوبة 90 % لمدة ستة اسابيع وقد اشارت النتائج المتحصل عليها خلال الدراسة إلى:

- 1- بالنسبه لتأثير معاملات الرش ببعض المركبات الكيماوية فقد اوضحت النتائج أن ثمار الرمان صنف ( وندرفل) المعامله ( نانو كلوريد الكالسيوم) بمعدل 1 جم/ لتر اعطت اعلي متوسط لكل الصفات الكيماويه المختبره تحت الدراسه ( النسبه المئويه لكل من المواد الصلبه الذائبه الكاليه- الحموضه- محتوي الثمار من المعربيات الكليه- محتوي الثمار من حمض الاسكوربياك (V.C.) وكذلك صبغه الانثوسيانين ) وايضا بعض الصفات الطبيعيه مثل معدل العصير بالثمار في حين انتجت هذه المعاملات اقل القيم المعنويه من محتوي الثمار من انزيم البيروكسيديز وعلي العكس من ذلك فان معامله المقارنه اعطت اعلي القيم من محتوي الثمار من انزيم البيروكسيديز واقل القيم المعنويه لكل الصفات سواء الطبيعيه او الكيماويه المختبره أثناء التخزين المبرد وكذلك اتفقا في كلا موسمى الدراسه.
- 2- أثبتت الدراسه فيما يخص تأثير فترات التخزين ان اعلي القيم معنويا لصفات ( محتوي الثمار من TSS السكريات الكليه- معدل العصير بالثمار محتوي الثمار من الانثوسيانين وحمض الاسكوربيك ) كانت مرتبطه بالاسبوع الرابع من التخزين ثم بدات في الانخفاض التدريجي حتي الاسبوع السادس بينما كان العكس صحيحا مع كل من محتوي الثمار من الحموضه وانزيم البيروكسيديز في كلا الموسمين مع التخزين
- 3- وفيما يتعلق بتاثير التفاعل للعاملين ( تاثير كل من االرش بالمواد الكيماويه وفترات التخزين) فقد اظهرت النتائج ان كل من عاملي التخزين انعكس تاثيرهما علي التفاعل بينهما حيث اعطت معامله التفاعل ( نانو كالسيوم 1 % × الاسبوع الرابع من التخزين اعلي القيم المعنويه لصفات ( TSS السكريات الكليه- فيتامين سي الانثوسيانين وكذلك معدل العصير بالثمار ) بينما اعطت معامله المقارنه اعلي القيم المعنويه لكل من النسبه المئويه للحموضه الكليه ومحتوي الثمار من انزيك البيروكسيديز مقارنه بالمعملات الاخري خلال موسمين الدراسه.